



Repositorio Institucional de la Universidad Autónoma de Madrid <u>https://repositorio.uam.es</u>

Esta es la **versión de autor** del artículo publicado en: This is an **author produced version** of a paper published in:

Biodiversity and Conservation 29.2 (2020): 469-485

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01894-6

Copyright: © 2019 Springer Nature B.V.

El acceso a la versión del editor puede requerir la suscripción del recurso Access to the published version may require subscription

- 1 Alejandro G. Fernández de Castro<sup>\*1</sup>, Vicente Rozas<sup>2</sup>, Javier Fuertes Aguilar<sup>1</sup> & Juan
- 2 Carlos Moreno Saiz<sup>3,4</sup>
- 3 Demographic and dendrochronological evidence reveals highly endangered status of a
- 4 paleoendemic woody mallow from the Canary Islands

5

- 6 <sup>1</sup>Departamento de Biodiversidad y Conservación, Real Jardín Botánico-CSIC, Calle
- 7 Claudio Moyano 1, 28013 Madrid, Spain.
- 8 \*Corresponding author, jano@rjb.csic.es, telephone number: 635434879
- 9 <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Ciencias Agroforestales, E. U. de Ingenierías Agrarias, Universidad
- 10 de Valladolid, Campus Duques de Soria, 42004 Soria, Spain.
- <sup>3</sup>Departamento de Biología (Botánica), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Calle
- 12 Darwin 2, 28049 Madrid, Spain.
- <sup>4</sup>Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Cambio Global (CIBC-UAM) Universidad
- 14 Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain.

### 16 ABSTRACT

Navaea phoenicea (Malvaceae) is a flagship shrub species endemic to Tenerife Island in 17 the Canary archipelago and is included as Endangered on the Spanish Red List. We 18 conducted a comprehensive census and monitored the structure of 8 accessible 19 populations over ten years to develop a stage-based demographic matrix model and 20 performed deterministic and stochastic projections. To determine the longevity of 21 individuals, we conducted a dendrochronological study on 10 collected dry samples. 22 The censuses showed a clear, gradual decline, and the total population was around half 23 24 that in previous studies. The yearly finite growth rate was strongly correlated with annual rainfall. Survival rates of seedlings were low, and high elasticity values were 25 allocated to the larger, reproductive individuals, which showed the highest survival 26 27 rates. The age of the oldest individual was 32 years, while the average age inferred from dendrochronology was 18 years. These results point to a lower longevity of individuals 28 29 with respect to the values calculated by demographic models. The findings of this study suggest the importance of the preservation of reproductive individuals and their habitat, 30 as well as the need to re-adopt legal measures of greater protection for the species. 31

32 Keywords: Canary Islands, Macaronesian bird-flower element, matrix modelling,
 33 *Lavatera*, *Navaea phoenicea*, Malvaceae, stochastic models.

34

### 1. Introduction

The characteristics of volcanic archipelagos make insular biota more vulnerable to extinction due to isolation and limited potential habitat, resulting in a large number of endangered species (Courchamp et al. 2014; Harter et al. 2015). Conservation biology has thus focused on oceanic islands, given the higher species diversity in these small territories (Kier et al. 2009). One paradigmatic case is the Canary archipelago, whose

early colonization by African and later European settlers led to a dramatic disturbance 40 of natural habitats (De Nascimento et al. 2009; Fernández-Palacios et al. 2011). The 41 Canary Islands, as part of the Mediterranean-Macaronesian hotspot (Médail and Quézel, 42 1999), hosts a large plant diversity with approximately 50% of endemic taxa (Reves-43 Betancort et al. 2008). The main threats to biodiversity identified in the archipelago 44 include severe habitat reduction combined with strong fragmentation, grazing by 45 introduced herbivores and competition with invasive plant species (Bañares-Baudet et 46 47 al. 2004; Caujapé-Castells et al. 2010; Gangoso et al. 2006). Thus, 25% of the Canarian flora fall within an IUCN category of risk (Bañares-Baudet et al. 2004; Moreno-Saiz 48 2008; Moreno-Saiz et al. 2015; Muñoz-Rodríguez et al. 2016). Whereas population 49 genetics (Caujapé-Castells 2010) along with reproductive biology and autecology 50 approaches (Anderson et al. 2015) have accounted for most of the efforts, studies 51 52 focusing on demographic parameters account to date for little more than a dozen species (Iriondo et al. 2009; Marrero-Gómez et al. 2005; 2007; 20015). 53

Within the endangered flora of the Canary Islands, we focus on a relic, 54 paleoendemic species. Navaea phoenicea (Vent.) Webb & Berthel. (Malvaceae) is a 55 rare shrubby mallow restricted to reduced areas in Tenerife Island. The species shows a 56 rare bird-pollination system, making it part of the Macaronesian bird flower element 57 (Fernández de Castro et al. 2017). The natural history of the species and its role in the 58 ecosystems makes it a flagship species for conservation measures. Navaea phoenicea 59 has been recognized either as a vulnerable (Rodríguez-Núñez et al. 2004) or endangered 60 61 taxon (Gómez-Campo, 1996; Moreno-Saiz 2008) based on IUCN criteria. However, its populations have been downgraded to the legal status of 'Species of special interest for 62 the Canarian ecosystems', a category for unthreatened species managed 'by virtue of 63

their relationship to the ecosystems of the protected areas in which they are located'(Gobierno de Canarias 2010).

Prior evaluations conducted for this plant identified as the main endangerment 66 factors the inability to disperse seeds, grazing, competition with exotic species and 67 habitat loss (Rodríguez-Núñez et al. 2004). These risk assessments, however, were 68 69 based only on visual evidence, which caused them to reach conclusions not supported 70 by long-term studies. Demographic parameters, such as the structure and viability of the populations, are thus far unknown. The aim of this paper is to shed light on these 71 72 questions to assess the conservation status of the species. For this purpose, a detailed 73 population survey over 10 years was conducted along with a dendrochronological study 74 to perform a population viability analysis (PVA) to determine long-term trends. The objectives were: 1) to model population dynamics and examine which life stages 75 contribute to their growth rates; 2) to assess the relationship between climate and 76 77 demography; and 3) to model extinction probability to guide conservation strategies.

78

### 2. Materials and methods

### 79 2.1. Study site and species

Navaea phoenicea represents an independent lineage within the tribe Malveae (Escobar García et al. 2009). It is a large-sized shrub, exemplifying a case of insular woodiness within its lineage (Carlquist 1974; Lens et al. 2013). Flowers are pollinated by non-specialist passerine birds (Fernández de Castro et al. 2017). The seeds are dry schizocarps that disperse mostly by barochory, with germination rates remaining very low without manual scarification.

The habitat of *N. phoenicea* are the steep slopes of the transition between the sclerophyllous forests of the Thermomediterranean dry belt, characterized by the

occurrence of Maytenus canariensis, Pistacia atlantica, and Juniperus phoenicea, and 88 the Mesomediterranean subhumid laurel forests, where is frequent the presence of 89 Apollonias barbujana and Visnea mocanera (del Arco Aguilar & Rodríguez Delgado, 90 2018), which has been severely reduced by human activities (del Arco Aguilar et al. 91 92 2010). Within these habitats, populations are restricted to northern cliffs of the two old volcanic paleoislands of Tenerife, the Anaga (East) and Teno (West) mountain massifs, 93 resulting in two fragmented population groups composed of 8 and 7 subpopulations, 94 95 respectively (figure 1). A census carried out for the Red Book counted as many as 1,410 mature individuals (Rodríguez Núñez et al. 2004). 96

97

# 2.2. Demographic survey

98 All known subpopulations and nearby areas (figure 1) were intensively prospected 99 in January 2006 and all individuals recorded. The inaccessibility and instability of the ravines necessitated the use of binoculars on several occasions. The 8 most accessible 100 subpopulations were delimited as survey plots for annual demographic monitoring. All 101 102 individuals within the plots were tagged, georeferenced, and measured for the following biometric parameters: basal diameter of the stem, total height and crown surface. The 103 cylindrical biovolume was calculated as the product of total height by crown surface. 104 105 Flower production and number of branches were also quantified. Futher, we calculated the correlations between all biometric parameters to determine if the stem diameter 106 107 could be considered as a reliable size estimator.

In every annual sampling, from 2006 to 2015, plots were visited in search of new seedlings, and biometric parameters were measured yearly for every recorded individual. Surveys were performed at the end of the flowering season in late February. Reproductive data coupled with biometry were used to establish the threshold between

life stages (see results): 1) seedlings (S), considered as first year individuals; 2) juvenile 112 (V); 3) young reproductive (RI) as flowering individuals of stem diameter <5.8 cm; and 113 114 4) mature reproductive (RII), flowering individuals with stem diameter  $\geq 5.8$  cm. The 115 5.8 cm diameter threshold dividing RI and RII classes was selected as the increment in 116 flower production was maximal at this point under a GAM model (see results). These classes were used to estimate the transition matrices for demographic modeling over the 117 period of the study. To account for significant differences in seedling survival between 118 119 localities and years, generalized linear models (GLM) were employed. For recruitment, we assumed that reproductive capacity was directly proportional to tree size. We 120 121 therefore used the sum of stem diameters as a covariate in the model following Pierson & Turner (1998). With respect to seedling survival, we fitted a GLM with localities and 122 123 years as predictors. The fate of seedlings (death or survival the next year) was used as a 124 dependent variable following a binomial distribution.

### 125 2.2. Deterministic matrix modeling

Projection matrices to calculate transition probabilities between life stages were 126 built using the popbio package (Milligan and Stubben 2007), implemented in R 127 software (R Core Team 2013). To account for fecundity estimates we took the approach 128 of Caswell (2001). First, the seed production of each individual was calculated based on 129 the number of flowers, which was counted weekly in the sampling periods from 2007-130 2009. The total number of flowers was modeled as a response variable in a linear 131 regression against the basal diameter of the stem. The model was used to estimate the 132 total number of flowers of each individual for subsequent sampling years, where 133 134 population censuses occurred only at the end of the flowering period. The total number of flowers of each individual was multiplied by the mean number of ovules per flower 135 and the average fructification rate (21 and 0.18, respectively; Fernández de Castro, 136

unpublished results). Second, the average number of seedlings that emerged the next 137 year was divided by the average total number of seeds produced every year, as an 138 estimate of the rate of seedlings established per seed. Finally, the number of seeds 139 produced annually by each individual was multiplied by the mean rate of seedling 140 production, as the individual estimate of fecundity. Lefkovitch transition probability 141 matrices were calculated, followed by yearly lambda ( $\lambda$ ) values of finite growth and 142 bootstrap  $\lambda$  values along with elasticity matrices. Analyses were conducted for the 143 144 ensemble of individuals and separately for the two populations, Teno and Anaga.

### 145 *2.3. Average generation time*

146 A demographic parameter relevant for population genetic models based on the 147 coalescent and population dynamics is the average generation time. The calculation of 148 this parameter is based on the ages at first and last reproduction ( $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ ) The average 149 time between generations of repeated reproducers is roughly estimated as T= ( $\alpha + \omega$ )/2 150 (Pianka 2011).

### 151 2.4. Stochastic simulation of population dynamics

Stochastic simulations were conducted again with the popbio package, which 152 implements equations for stochastic models from Morris and Doak (2002). To account 153 for potential environmental variability affecting growth rates, three scenarios were 154 developed to assign probabilities to each year. One stable scenario where all yearly 155 matrices were weighted equally; a negative scenario where matrices of years with  $\lambda < 0$ 156 were given twice the weight of those of years with  $\lambda > 0$ ; and a third, positive scenario 157 158 where conversely, years with positive growth were given double weight in the stochastic simulations. Models were run with 50.000 iterations. Quasi-extinction 159

probabilities were calculated assuming an extinction threshold of N=100 (a reduction of
90% the censed individuals) and a temporal horizon of 100 years.

### 162 2.5. Climatic variables

163 To calculate the correlation between population growth and climate parameters, datasets of available weather stations from the Spanish Meteorological Agency (AEMET) were 164 collected and filtered by location and time span of climate records. Only stations within 165 the northern slopes of Teno and Anaga massifs within the altitude range of Navaea 166 phoenicea were considered (400-600 m a.s.l.), one in Teno massif and 5 in Anaga 167 (figure 1). Here, the following approach was taken to explore which kind of procedure 168 is more informative about the environmental influence on population growth. On the 169 one hand, only one station was kept as a proxy of the general climate variation for the 170 171 whole Anaga massif. On the other, data from the five stations were used to interpolate a raster map for each monthly variable for every year at 500 m resolution. Calculations 172 were conducted using the geoR package in R (Ribeiro and Diggle 2015). The values 173 174 obtained for the centroid cell of all subpopulations in Anaga were then used as a climate proxy. Monthly, quarterly, average and total annual precipitation values, and maximum, 175 minimum and average monthly temperature in Teno and Anaga separately, were used to 176 test the significance of the correlation between  $\lambda$  and climate values. 177

### 178 2.6. Dendrochronological analyses

Given the current conservation status of the species, only dead individuals were used in the dendrochronological assessment. Samples were obtained from the beginning of the survey to 2009. Stems from dead individuals were sliced at their base and manually sanded and processed to clarify the tree rings in the stem. Then, individual growth rings were counted and measured by means of a Velmex sliding-stage micrometer. For each 184 sample, we measured rings along three radii, and an the average ring width series from 185 every individual was calculated. Those individuals whose year of death was known 186 were used to establish a master chronology. Dead individuals found at the beginning of 187 the study for which death year was unknown, were matched to the highest correlation 188 coefficient with the master chronology. All calculations were performed with the dplR 189 package in R (Bunn 2008).

AEMET meteorological station data were used to explore the relationships between climate time series and stem growth. The set of meteorological records was filtered to select stations accounting for data for the life-time period of the sampled specimens. Finally, two stations were chosen as climate proxies (figure 1) for Anaga and Teno specimens. The correlation with annual precipitation was analyzed to explore the effects of climatic variables on growth rate. These correlations were calculated for the annual precipitation of the same year, and for the previous and the two previous years.

197 **3. Results** 

### 198 *3.1. Demographic survey and population dynamics*

The initial survey detected 840 individuals, about half of the 1,410 counted in the 199 previous census (figure 1). However, the survey in inaccessible locations with 200 binoculars could only account for flowering individuals, but not seedlings or juveniles, 201 which could not be easily detected. The starting number of individuals within the 202 203 accessible studied plots was 347 in total, 163 from Anaga and 184 from Teno. Annual growth inferred from the differences between diameters measured in consecutive years 204 was on average 1.92 mm (SD=1.01). The number of flowers and logarithm of the 205 biovolume were significantly correlated with basal stem diameter (F=910.5, p<0.001, 206  $R^{2}=0.857$ ; F=558, p<0.001, R<sup>2</sup>=0.780, n=349, supplementary figure 1a and b, 207

respectively). Diameter was therefore considered a valid measure to establish size 208 classes and life stages. Proportion of seedling survival in the plots from one year to the 209 210 next ranged from 0 to 100% with a mean of 0.15. Survival rate significantly varied (F<sub>9.147</sub>=5.477, P=0.0026) and 211 between vears subpopulations  $(F_{12,144}=3.337)$ 212 P=0.000046). For recruitment, the minimum ratio of seedlings emerged/seeds produced ranged from 0 to 0.587\*10<sup>-3</sup>. Linear models also detected significant differences for 213 both predictors (sub-population: F<sub>9,147</sub>=3.292\*10<sup>-8</sup>, P=2.017\*10<sup>-7</sup>; M year: F<sub>9,144</sub>=5.097\*10<sup>-7</sup> 214 215 <sup>9</sup>, P=3.806\*10<sup>-16</sup>).

216

## 217 3.2. Deterministic matrix modeling, fecundity and survival rates

The average  $\lambda$  value for the total population was 0.994, ranging from 0.982 (2012) 218 to 1.022 (2010) (table 1, supplementary table 1). Bootstrapped values ranged overall 219 220 from 0.985 to 1. In Teno and Anaga, the average  $\lambda$  was 0.992 and 0.994, respectively, and bootstrapped values ranged from 0.937 to 1 in Teno, and 0.962 to 1 in Anaga. Three 221 transitions showed  $\lambda > 1$  in Anaga (2009-2010 2010-2011 and 2014-2015), two in Teno 222 223 (2009-2010 2013-2014), and one for the ensemble (2009-2010). Figure 2a represents the values of fecundity, transition rate to the next stage and survival rates within a given 224 225 stage. No reversion to previous stages was observed over ten years. Survival rates were higher in the reproductive stages. The transition from seedling to juvenile, i.e., seedling 226 227 survival, showed low values (0.153). Fecundity was higher in mature reproductive 228 individuals RII than in young reproductive individuals RI (table 1). As a result of an average  $\lambda < 1$ , the deterministic matrix model projected a population decrease (figure 2b). 229 The current population structure differed from the stable structure defined by the 230 population matrix only between RI and RII individuals as the distributions of their 231

proportions became inverted. Distributions of seedlings and juvenile individuals
decreased slightly (figure 2b). The time spent in each stage by an individual, estimated
from the projection matrix, indicated that *Navaea phoenicea* is a long-lived species: by
definition 1 year in the seedling stage, and an average of 4 years in the juvenile stage,
24 as young reproductive and 25.5 as mature reproductive. This was in sum 55 years.
The times estimated for the mature reproductive stage were mostly less in Anaga (18 yr)
than in Teno (30 yr). Average generation time for *Navaea phoenicea* is T= 16.8 yr.

Elasticities were high for the survival of young reproductive and mature reproductive individuals. More frequently, annual elasticity matrices assigned higher values to the survival of young reproductive individuals than to mature ones. However, these values varied widely, i.e. in several periods elasticity of survival of mature individuals reached 1, while in others, conversely, young reproductive individuals also achieved a value of elasticity of 1. The elasticity of transition between stages or fecundity showed very low values.

No monthly variables of temperature or precipitation presented significant correlations with yearly  $\lambda$  values. On the contrary, total annual precipitation showed a strong relationship with  $\lambda$  (figure 4). In Teno, Pearson R<sup>2</sup> was 0.764 (t=3.35, df=8, p=0.0109). In Anaga, where two distinct approaches were used, results differed. In contrast with Teno, there was a weak correlation for a single station (R<sup>2</sup>=0.401, t=1.24, df=8, p=0.249). For the centroid of the interpolated values, however, correlation was strong (R<sup>2</sup>=0.818, t=4.026, df=8, p=0.004).

253 *3.3.* Stochastic projections

Table 2 summarizes stochastic projections under the defined negative, stable and positive scenarios for a temporal horizon of 100 years. Growth rates calculated for

stochastic projections showed that at any of the three defined scenarios, both in total and 256 for each population, their values were always <1, although in the positive scenario  $\lambda$ 257 was 0.999. Quasi-extinction probabilities varied between scenarios (supplementary 258 figure 2, table 2). Under the negative scenario, the probability was over 0.938 in all 259 260 cases. Under the stable scenario, probability in both Teno and Anaga was over 0.973 when considered separately, but not when considered together (0.154). Finally, the 261 positive scenario gave a null probability of quasi-extinction to the population ensemble, 262 263 low for Teno (0.184) and intermediate for Anaga (0.570). For shorter time horizons, the probabilities for the two populations separately began to increase after 25 years, not 264 before. 265

Projected population numbers at the end of the simulations were highly variable (supplementary figure 2). For both Anaga and Teno considered separately, in only the positive scenario was the most probable number of effectives over 100. Accounting for the total population, the most probable population numbers were 121 in the negative scenario, 181 in the stable, and 315 in the positive scenario.

## 271 *3.4. Dendrochronological analyses*

272 Ten samples from dead individuals were obtained during the time period 2005-273 2008, eight in Anaga and two in Teno. Two dry individuals were found at the beginning 274 of the fieldwork, while the other eight were collected during the annual population 275 sampling. Although the tree-ring chronological series were generally very short (figure 276 3a), growth rings are characterized by semi-ring porosity and the boundaries can be unequivocally identified by a band of marginal parenchyma (figure 3b). The oldest 277 278 sample was REP01 at 32 years and the youngest was TAB04 at 11 years. The mean age 279 of sampled individuals inferred from rings was 18.09, below the times inferred from the

projection matrix. On average, annual growth rate was 2.011 mm (*SD*=1.17), slightly
higher than growth rate measured at the stem base. Pearson correlation among the
individual growth rates was 0.61.

Correlations between average ring growth per population and any of the time 283 series of annual precipitation were very weak (supplementary table 2). Figure 3c shows 284 285 the relationship between ring growth and total precipitation for the period 1978-2010. In 286 Anaga, the correlation between growth and precipitation only appeared to be significant in the period 1999-2001, and in Teno between 2004 and 2006. However, in some parts 287 of the chronological series, a time lag in the covariation between rainfall and growth 288 289 could be observed, suggesting that a stronger relationship between climate and growth 290 may occur, but weakly coupled and with a certain preconditioning of climate on growth 291 rates variable across years.

#### 292 4. Discussion

This study provides a census of *Navaea phoenicea* and a long-term population survey predicting a slow decline of the species in the coming decades. These concerning results offer insights both on the size structure of the population and the effect of climate constraints on population trends. The study on this particular endemic species in the thermophilous shrubland of Tenerife contributes to the understanding of its risk factors and offers clues for the management of the habitat.

299 4.1. Size and population structure

There is a large discrepancy between the census in our study and a previous one taken for the Spanish Red Book (Rodríguez Núñez et al. 2004). Our number is just over half of the individuals previously estimated. Based on the number of mature individuals, this new census would qualify the species as threatened in the IUCN category VU D1. Such an effective decrease is not likely to have happened, given the slow decline trend found here and the near absence of dead mature individuals at the beginning of our study. Instead, discrepancies are more likely due to the use of different estimation methods and the existence of look-alike species at the same locations.

308 The sample size that could be surveyed within plots represent a fraction of 309 approximately half of the total census. The population structure based on size in Navaea 310 phoenicea was dominated fundamentally by mature individuals. Because in most years annual surveys were performed once, it is very likely that annual data only accounts for 311 312 a fraction of total seedlings in the population, although the sampling time was chosen to 313 maximize the number of seedlings emerged from the seed bank. Accounting for the total 314 number of seedlings emerged throughout the year may confer to the species population the classical J-shaped distribution curve of frequency of each stage. Under this 4 stage-315 316 based classification of individuals, collected data would classify the populations as 317 'senile' (Oostermeijer et al., 1994). Juvenile individuals were present in very low numbers and were scarcer than seedlings. One of the main threats to the Canarian flora 318 is grazing, which may more intensely affect seedlings, yet for Navaea phoenicea the 319 elasticity values for seedling survival does not ensure the persistence of the species. 320

## 321 4.2. Projected population trends and drivers. Reasons for concern?

The variability of finite growth rate across years and locations was below one, indicating a declining tendency. This trend is partly due to the longevity and relatively slow growth of individuals, which drives small variations in population numbers and transitions between years. The deterministic modeling predicted less than 100 individuals within the next century meaning a reduction of 30% of the population in 50 years, insufficient to be considered threatened under IUCN criteria.

The comprehensive COMPADRE database (Salguero-Gómez et al. 2015) shows 328 that other pertinent PVAs are scarce for the Malvaceae. Similarly, there have only been 329 14 PVAs carried out in Canarian plants (Iriondo et al. 2009; Kyncl et al. 2006; Marrero-330 Gómez et al. 2007 2005), across all environments. Demographic monitoring on 331 Canarian species has shown great variations in their population trends, ranging from 332  $\lambda$ =0.603 for Laphangium teydeum (Asteraceae, Marrero Gómez et al. 2015) to  $\lambda$ =1.247 333 for Helianthemum juliae Wildpret (Cistaceae, Marrero Gómez et al. 2007), both 334 335 endemic to the Cañadas del Teide National Park in Tenerife. Navaea phoenicea showed an intermediate  $\lambda$ =0.994 and by far the lowest fluctuation in annual population growth 336 trends among the studied Canarian taxa. For these three species, as for some others, the 337 highest elasticities were associated with survival rates of mature individuals. Likewise, 338 survival of juvenile individuals was associated with rainfall amounts. 339

Accounting for stochasticity under different scenarios of population growth, only 340 341 the positive scenario did not predict an early quasi-extinction event. The probabilities are dependent on two arbitrary inputs, namely the time period for the calculation and the 342 initial number of individuals. The models were run accounting only for individuals 343 within survey plots, which represent approximately 50% of the total estimated 344 population, thus the actual quasi-extinction probability should be lower. In the three 345 346 scenarios, however, the average stochastic  $\lambda$  was always below 1, indicating a likely decrease in the number of effectives regardless of the environmental variability. 347 Stochastic models allow for the assessment of IUCN criterion E for probability of 348 349 extinction within a time period. Given the long lifespan of cohorts estimated by the matrix models, extinction probability would only increase significantly after 25 years, 350 but in the time period within 5 generations. Therefore, Navaea phoenicea should be 351

included in EN category under this criterion, i.e. >20% of extinction probability in less
than 20 years or 5 generations.

The causes of the decline may be two-fold. First, demographic analysis showed a 354 strong relationship between precipitation and  $\lambda$ , where years with precipitation >550 355 356 mm drove a positive population growth. Overall, the elasticity analysis showed that individual reproductive stages are crucial for the survival of populations as they had 357 significantly higher values than any transition or fecundity values in the average 358 matrices. On the other hand, the congruence between recruitment and rainfall has been 359 360 found for other species in different climate regimes and habitats in Tenerife (Fernández-Lugo et al. 2015; Marrero-Gómez et al. 2007). This evidence is consistent with the fact 361 362 that juveniles show a high survival rate; once the critical seedling stage is passed. A second possible factor is seedling predation as a direct driver against recruitment. 363

Although grazing is considered a recurrent risk factor for the Canarian flora (Gangoso et al. 2006; Moreno-Saiz et al. 2015), our evidence for this is uncertain, especially confronted with findings for the noticeable effect of rainfall. In a preliminary experiment performed between exclusion and non-exclusion plots, we found significant differences in recruitment, but the small sample size and short-term nature of the assay prevents sound conclusions. Because recruitment and seed survival vary significantly between populations and years, there may be several local factors affecting recruitment.

The high elasticity attributed to reproductive individuals indicates a requirement for the conservation of the species, which can be translated into recommendations for habitat conservation. This presents challenges for decision-makers, as land management on islands can be particularly difficult (Fernandes et al. 2015). However, under the current trend of populations and probabilities this may not be enough in the long term.

Since recruitment appears to rely largely on climate, it is necessary to assess current and 376 future trends of precipitation. Trends from preindustrial times until the present show a 377 significant decrease in the precipitation for the Canary Islands when measuring 378 tendencies at the regional scale (Niang et al. 2014) but not significant at the 379 Macaronesian scale (Cropper and Hanna 2014). Projections of IPCC5 scenarios (IPCC 380 2013) predict a significant decrease in the rainfall of 20% by 2100 under the worst 381 emissions scenario. IPCC 5 scenarios have not been downscaled for the Canary Islands, 382 383 which is needed given the complexity of climate in oceanic islands when representing microclimate variables that operate at finer scales (Harter et al. 2015). For instance, the 384 385 effect of trade winds, which are crucial for rainfall regime and water balance, may be very difficult to model for the present climate and to downscale future scenarios. 386 Specifically, a downward shift of the cloud forest has been predicted resulting from the 387 388 incidence of trade winds (Sperling et al. 2004), which would in turn favor populations 389 of Navaea phoenicea if rainfall is increased in the lower limit of its altitudinal range. On 390 the other hand, the species distribution shows a certain altitudinal variation, with subpopulations located at the lower edge of the thermophilous shrubland limited by the 391 coastal desert, and others near or within the laurel forest, although a sufficient sampling 392 size could not be retrieved to test the effect of elevation on the growth rate apportioned 393 394 by subpopulations. Therefore, the fate of populations may be asymmetric depending on the distribution under scenarios of intense climate change, but can present an 395 opportunity to carry out assisted migration. 396

### *4.3. Coupling dendrochronology and population viability analysis*

Although evolutionary implications of insular woodiness in *Navaea phoenicea* are beyond the scope of this paper, accounting for tree rings and stem growth allowed us to confirm the existence of true woodiness in a species disregarded in previous studies (Lens et al. 2013). Rings can be easily visualized and measured. Because of the
conservative approach to an endangered species, sample size achieved throughout the
period was very low, and therefore these results should be considered with caution.

The correlation between chronological series was satisfactory, but there was weak 404 evidence of the effect of climatic variables on tree growth. Microclimatic variations 405 406 linked to complex topography are a generalized factor in oceanic islands (Harter et al. 407 2015; Irl et al. 2015). Therefore, the climate proxies may misrepresent the local climatic conditions that affect tree growth. Similar results are found for tree species (Rozas et al. 408 409 2013). Measures of annual growth taken in the sampling plots were inconsistent with width increments measured from tree rings. There was no correlation between age and 410 411 basal diameter. Age therefore, as often occurs in the vast majority of species studied, can be considered a poor predictor of the reproductive ability of individuals, which is in 412 413 turn rather correlated with size. A second incongruence found between matrix modeling 414 and this dendrochronological study is their difference in the survival rates. Even with the limited sample size, the mean age of dead individuals was 18 years and the oldest 415 individual, which also had the second largest trunk, was 32. On the contrary, the matrix 416 modeling gave much higher estimates of survival in each stage. One possible 417 explanation is that individuals in the dendrochronological study were sampled in more 418 419 optimal years. Since conservation strategies rely partially on the assurance of survivorship of the largest individuals, this incongruence must be taken into account as 420 421 a caution measure, if living times extracted by matrix modeling are overestimated.

## 422 4.4. Concluding remarks for conservation strategies

This study identifies a population decline driven by a lack of recruitment in thedriest years, which were frequent in the survey period. The application of IUCN criteria

through this study provides evidence to maintain *Navaea phoenicea* in the EN category.
Our study calls into question the justification for the reduction in its protection and, on
the contrary, warns about the future of a plant whose habitats are fragmented and
unprotected or covered by 'low intensity' figures of land protection (Rural Park and
Protected Landscape). Projected governmental evaluation in 2018 (A. Bañares com.
pers.) should incorporate these new data and draw up a recovery plan in line with the
real risks faced by the species.

The rate of decline, although clear, is modulated by the long lifespan cycle of the 432 species. Even in the absence of this risk of rapid decay, which would better suggest a 433 434 long-term conservation strategy, it is necessary to address the remaining risk factors. 435 Population numbers are very low and subject to catastrophic events as the stochastic models showed. These may be natural processes frequent in the oceanic archipelagos, 436 437 such as landslides or volcanic episodes, but can also be human-induced impacts. An ex-438 situ reproduction program, as well as an adequately storage of genotyped germplasm, could act as a supporting measure in the event of climate-change related hazards, such 439 as prolonged drought or a source of population reinforcement programs. This was 440 already suggested by Rodríguez-Núñez et al. (2004) for some localities. There are 441 currently three germoplasm banks hosting seeds (Jardín Botánico Viera y Clavijo in 442 443 Gran Canaria island, and Real Jardín Botánico, in Madrid). The first two preserve live individuals. Besides, other individuals are maintained by private initiatives with no 444 information of their origin. Grazing, together with non-climatic factors affecting 445 446 seedling survival for instance, must be still investigated accurately. Habitat preservation 447 and competition with invasive species must be addressed as well, as part of the conservation practices for reproductive individuals and to acknowledge natural and 448 449 anthropogenic mortality causes. Climate-induced changes in vital rates may be more

difficult to manage. Besides an assisted approach, habitat conservation should not only contribute to the preservation of reproductive individuals, it should preserve bird pollinators and ensure reproductive fitness, which may improve with higher visit rates of efficient pollinators. Although time-consuming, PVAs prove to be useful for a better understanding of the risk factors that threaten populations. Given the singularity of the island biota and the conservation status, it is therefore necessary to improve the use of these methods.

## 457 Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the CSIC Intramural project 2006-3-OI-028, Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation research project CGL2007-66516 to JFA and a personal grant FPI 0266/2005 from Madrid Regional Government (European Social Fund) to AGFdC. We thank Mar Génova, for her help in the dendrochronological field work. The Agencia Estatal de Meteorología (AEMET) kindly provided meteorological data. The authors are grateful to Txema Iriondo for his comments on this paper.

464

### 465 **References**

466

Anderson GJ, Bernardello G, Santos-Guerra A (2015) Reproductive biology of *Solanum vespertilio* (Solanaceae), a zygomorphic, heterantherous, enantiostylous, and

i = 1 i =

andromonoecious rare Canary Islands endemic. Plant Syst Evol 301:1191–1206. doi:
10.1007/s00606-014-1143-4

471 Bañares-Baudet Á, Blanca G, Güemes J, et al. (2004) Atlas y Libro Rojo de la Flora

- 472 Vascular Amenazada de España. Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza,473 Madrid.
- Bunn AG (2008) A dendrochronology program library in R (dplR). Dendrochronologia
  26:115–124. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2008.01.002

476 Carlquist S (1974) Insular woodiness. Isl. Biol. Columbia University Press, New York,
477 pp 350–428.

- Caswell H (2001) Matrix Population Models: Construction, Analysis and Interpretation, 478 2nd ed. Sinauer, Sunderland. 479
- Caujapé-Castells J, Tye A, Crawford DJ, et al. (2010) Conservation of oceanic island 480

481 floras: Present and future global challenges. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst 12:107–129. doi: 10.1016/j.ppees.2009.10.001 482

- Courchamp F, Hoffmann BD, Russell JC, et al. (2014) Climate change, sea-level rise, 483 and conservation: keeping island biodiversity afloat. Trends Ecol Evol 29:127–130. doi: 484 10.1016/j.tree.2014.01.001 485
- 486 Cropper TE, Hanna E (2014) An analysis of the climate of Macaronesia, 1865-2012. Int J Climatol 34:604-622. doi: 10.1002/joc.3710 487
- 488 del Arco Aguilar MJ, González-González R, Garzón-Machado V, Pizarro-Hernández B
- (2010) Actual and potential natural vegetation on the Canary Islands and its 489
- 490 conservation status. Biodivers Conserv 19: 3089-3140. doi: 10.1007/s10531-010-9881-2
- 491
- del Arco Aguilar MJ, Rodríguez Delgado O (2018) Vegetation of the Canary Islands. 492
- 493 Plant and Vegetation, 16, Springer, Cham. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-77255-4
- 494 Escobar García P, Schönswetter P, Fuertes Aguilar J, et al. (2009) Five molecular
- markers reveal extensive morphological homoplasy and reticulate evolution in the 495
- Malva alliance (Malvaceae). Mol Phylogenet Evol 50:226-39. doi: 496
- 497 10.1016/j.ympev.2008.10.015
- 498 Fernandes JP, Guiomar N, Gil A (2015) Strategies for conservation planning and
- management of terrestrial ecosystems in small islands (exemplified for the 499
- 500 Macaronesian islands). Environ Sci Policy 51:1–22. doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2015.03.006
- Fernández-Lugo S, de Nascimento L, Méndez J, et al. (2015) Seedling survival patterns 501
- in Macaronesian laurel forest: A long-term study in Tenerife (Canary Islands). Forestry 502
- 503 88:121-130. doi: 10.1093/forestry/cpu035
- 504 Fernández-Palacios JM, De Nascimento L, Otto R, et al. (2011) A reconstruction of
- Palaeo-Macaronesia, with particular reference to the long-term biogeography of the 505
- Atlantic island laurel forests. J Biogeogr 38:226-246. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-506
- 507 2699.2010.02427.x
- 508 Fernández de Castro AG, Moreno-Saiz JC, Fuertes-Aguilar J (2017) Ornithophily for
- the nonspecialist: Differential pollination efficiency of the macaronesian island 509
- paleoendemic Navaea phoenicea (Malvaceae) by generalist passerines. Am J Bot 510
- 511 104:1556-1568. doi: 10.3732/ajb.1700204
- 512 Gangoso L, Donazar JA, Scholz S, et al. (2006) Contradiction in conservation of island
- 513 ecosystems: Plants, introduced herbivores and avian scavengers in the Canary Islands.
- Biodivers Conserv 15:2231-2248. doi: 10.1007/s10531-004-7181-4 514

- 515 Gobierno de Canarias (2010) Catálogo Canario de especies protegidas. 167:16.
- 516 Harter DEV, Irl SDH, Seo B, et al. (2015) Impacts of global climate change on the
- 517 floras of oceanic islands Projections, implications and current knowledge. Perspect
- 518
   Plant Ecol Evol Syst 17:160–183. doi: 10.1016/j.ppees.2015.01.003
- 519 IPCC Working Group I (2013) IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) The physical
  520 science basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New
- 521 York, USA, 1535 pp.
- 522 Iriondo JM, Albert MJ, Giménez-Benavides L, et al. (2009) Poblaciones en peligro:
- 523 Viabilidad demográfica de la Flora Vascular Amenazada de España, 1st ed. Dirección
  524 General de Medio Natural y Política Forestal (Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, y Medio
  525 Rural y Marino), Madrid.
- 526 Irl SDH, Harter DEV, Steinbauer MJ, et al. (2015) Climate vs. topography spatial
- 527 patterns of plant species diversity and endemism on a high-elevation island. J Ecol
- 528 103:1621–1633. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12463
- 529 Kier G, Kreft H, Lee TM, et al. (2009) A global assessment of endemism and species
- richness across island and mainland regions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:9322–9327.
  doi: 10.1073/pnas.0810306106
- 532 Kyncl T, Suda J, Wild J, et al. (2006) Population dynamics and clonal growth of
- 533 Spartocytisus supranubius (Fabaceae), a dominant shrub in the alpine zone of Tenerife,
- 534 Canary Islands. Plant Ecol 186:97–108. doi: 10.1007/s11258-006-9115-6
- Lens F, Davin N, Smets Erik, Arco M del (2013) Insular Woodiness on the Canary
  Islands: A Remarkable Case of Convergent Evolution. Int J Plant Sci 174:992–1013.
- 537 Marrero-Gómez M V., Bañares-Baudet Á, Carqué-Álamo E (2005) Viabilidad de las
- poblaciones del endemismo tinerfeño *Echium auberianum* (Boraginaceae). Vieraea
  33:93–104.
- 540 Marrero-Gómez M V., Bañares-Baudet Á, Carqué-Álamo E (2015) Seguimiento de la
- flora vascular de Españ . Bencomia de cumbre (Bencomia exstipulata). Amagante de
- 542 roques (Cistus chinamadensis). Borriza del Teide (Laphangium teydeum). Sau o
- 543 canario (Sambucus nigra ssp. palmensis). Canutillo del Teide (Silene nocteole.
- 544 Dirección General de Calidad y Evaluación Ambiental y Medio Natural, Ministerio de
- 545 Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente., Madrid.
- 546 Marrero-Gómez M V., Oostermeijer JGB, Carqué-Álamo E, Bañares-Baudet Á (2007)
- 547 Population viability of the narrow endemic *Helianthemum juliae* (Cistaceae) in relation
- to climate variability. Biol Conserv 136:552–562. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2007.01.010
- 549 Médail F, Quézel P (1999) Biodiversity Hotspots in the Mediterranean Basin: Setting
- 550 Global Conservation Priorities. Conserv Biol 13:1510–1513.

- Milligan BG, Stubben CJ (2007) Estimating and Analyzing Demographic Models Using
  the popbio Package in R. J Stat Softw 22:issue 11.
- 553 Moreno-Saiz JC (2008) Lista Roja de Flora Vascular Española. Dirección General de
- 554 Medio Natural y Política Forestal (Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, y Medio Rural y
- 555 Marino, y Sociedad Española de Biología de la Conservación de Plantas), Madrid.
- 556 Moreno-Saiz JC, Domínguez Lozano F, Marrero-Gómez M V., Bañares-Baudet Á
- 557 (2015) Application of the Red List Index for conservation assessment of Spanish
- vascular plants. Conserv Biol 29:910–919. doi: 10.1111/cobi.12437
- 559 Morris WF, Doak DF (2002) Quantitative conservation biology: theory and practice of 560 population viability analysis. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
- 561 Muñoz-Rodríguez P, Munt DD, Saiz JCM (2016) Global strategy for plant
- 562 conservation: inadequate in situ conservation of threatened flora in Spain. Isr J Plant Sci
- 563 63:297–308. doi: 10.1080/07929978.2016.1257105
- 564 De Nascimento L, Willis KJ, Fernández-Palacios JM, et al. (2009) The long-term

565 ecology of the lost forests of la Laguna, Tenerife (Canary Islands). J Biogeogr 36:499–

- 566 514. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02012.x
- 567 Niang I, Ruppel OC, Abdrabo MA, et al. (2014) Africa. In: Barros VR, Field CB,
- 568 Dokken DJ, et al. (eds) Clim. Chang. 2014 Impacts, Adapt. Vulnerability. Part B Reg.
- Asp. Contrib. Work. Gr. II to Fifth Assess. Rep. Intergov. Panel Clim. Chang.
- 570 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 1199–1265.
- 571 Oostermeijer JGB, Vantveer R, Dennijs JCM, et al. (1994) Population-Structure of the
- 572 Rare, Long-Lived Perennial *Gentiana pneumonanthe* in relation to vegetation and
- 573 management in the Netherlands. J Appl Ecol 31:428–438.
- 574 Pianka ER (2011) Evolutionary Ecology eBook, 7th edition. Google Books.
- 575 Pierson EA, Turner RM (1998) An 85-year study of saguaro (Carnegiea gigantea)
- 576 demography. Ecology 79:2676–2693. doi: 10.1890/0012-
- 577 9658(1998)079[2676:aysosc]2.0.co;2
- 578 R Core Team (2013) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
  579 Version 3.1.2.
- 580 Reyes-Betancort JA, Santos Guerra A, Guma IR, et al. (2008) Diversity, rarity and the
- evolution and conservation of the Canary Islands endemic flora. An Jard Bot Madrid
- 582 65:25–45. doi: 10.3989/ajbm.2008.v65.i1.244
- 583 Ribeiro PJ, Diggle PJ (2015) geoR: Analysis of Geostatistical Data.
- 584 Rodríguez Núñez S, Acevedo Rodríguez A, Oval de la Rosa JP, et al. (2004) Lavatera
- 585 phoenicea Vent. In: Bañares-Baudet Á, Blanca G, Güemes J, et al. (eds) Atlas y Libro.

- Rojo la Flora Vascular Amenazada de España. Dirección General de Conservación de
  la Naturaleza, Madrid, p 895.
- 588 Rozas V, García-González I, Pérez-De-Lis G, Arévalo JR (2013) Local and large-scale
- climatic factors controlling tree-ring growth of *Pinus canariensis* on an oceanic island.
  Clim Res 56:197–207. doi: 10.3354/cr01158
- 591 Salguero-Gómez R, Jones OR, Archer CR, et al. (2015) The compadre Plant Matrix
- 592 Database: an open online repository for plant demography. J Ecol 103:202–218. doi: 10.1111/1265.2745.12324
- 593 10.1111/1365-2745.12334
- 594 Sosa PA, González-González EA, González-Pérez MA, et al. (2014) Reproductive
- 595 strategy and ploidy determine the genetic variability of Sorbus aria. Tree Genet
- 596 Genomes 10:679–688. doi: 10.1007/s11295-014-0713-5
- 597 Sperling FN, Washington R, Whittaker RJ (2004) Future climate change of the
- subtropical North Atlantic: Implications for the cloud forests of tenerife. Clim Change
- 599 65:103–123. doi: 10.1023/B:CLIM.0000037488.33377.bf

600

603	Table 1. Average projection and elasticity matrices, average and minimum-maximum
604	bootstrapped $\lambda$ values for the complete period for Teno, Anaga and the total population
605	ensemble. S=seedling, J = juvenile, RI=young reproductive, RII=mature reproductive. N=mean
606	time spent in each stage.

Project	tion				Elasticity				λ	BS. λ
Teno					-					
	S	J	RI	RII	S	J	RI	RII		
S	0.000	0.000	0.092	0.284	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.010		
V	0.185	0.7599	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.065	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.985
RI	0.000	0.192	0.963	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.653	0.000	0.992	1.000
RII	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.946	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.210		
N	1	4.71	21	30	_					
Anaga										
S	0.000	0.000	0.083	0.297	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.013		
V	0.110	0.787	0.000	0.000	0.016	0.062	0.000	0.000		0.027
RI	0.000	0.158	0.951	0.001	0.000	0.016	0.386	0.000	0.994	1.000
RII	0.000	0.000	0.0375	0.966	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.486		1.000
Ν	1	4.18	27.30	18.63	_					
Total										
S	0.000	0.000	0.089	0.291	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.012		
V	0.153	0.775	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.067	0.000	0.000		0.062
RI	0.000	0.177	0.959	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.523	0.000	0.994	1
RII	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.957	0.000	0.000	0.013	0.339		1
Ν	1	4.44	24.65	23.54	-					

**Table 2.** Results of stochastic projections for a 150 year horizon. Approximate  $\lambda$  values and projected number of effectives are displayed in bold between the 95% confidence interval. For quasi-extinction probabilities, only the mean value is provided as variation was almost 0 in the

611 three scenarios.

		Negative scenario	Stable scenario	Positive scenario			
Teno	λ	0.989	0.993	0.999			
	Projected	25±8	25±8 57±20				
	population						
	numbers						
	quasi-extinction probability	0.99	0.973	0.184			
Anaga	λ	0.990	0.993	0.994			
	Projected	45±8	63±11	101±18			
	population						
	numbers in 150						
	years						
	quasi-extinction probability	1.000	0.997	0.570			
Total	λ	0.990	0.994	0.999			
	Projected	121±22	181±37	315±67			
	population						
	numbers (mean ±						
	SD)						
	quasi-extinction	0.938	0.154	0.000			
	probability						

612

# 615 FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Location of Tenerife Island, sampling plots (in red) and situation of meteorological stations. Asterisks denote the stations used as individual climate proxies to determine correlations between  $\lambda$  and tree ring growth with climatic parameters.

**Figure 2.** a) Life cycle of *Navaea phoenicea*. Dashed lines represent fecundity, dotted lines represent survival, and solid lines represent transitions to the next stage; b) Deterministic trajectory projection of *N. phoenicea* monitored populations from the number of individuals in 2015 for a period of 150 years; c) Yearly  $\lambda$  growth rates for Anaga and Teno populations (black line) and yearly total precipitation values for meteorological stations (dashed lines).

Figure 3. a) Quasi-extinction probabilities for a 100 year period performed for Anaga and Teno populations separately and the population ensemble. The probabilities predicted by the stochastic model for the three scenarios (negative, stable and positive) are plotted against time. b) Density of frequency of population numbers at year 100 predicted by the model for the three scenarios.

Figure 4. a) Complete transversal section of a stem with visible rings; b) Detail of three
rings with two tree-ring boundaries. Arrows indicate visible limits between rings; c)
Index of growth per individual through time. Dashed lines represent total precipitation.
Grey frames show years with high correlation between growth and precipitation.
Arrows indicate possible time offsets in the potential relationship between precipitation
and growth, if it actually happens. d) Growth rate index for all the individuals sampled.

636

637 Supplementary figure 1: Relationships between diameter at the stem height and a)638 number of flowers and b) logarithm of biovolume.

Supplementary figure 2: Stochastic projections of *Navaea phoenicea* populations for a
100 years period. a) Quasi-extinction probabilities in 100 years; b) Relative frequency
of the total number of effectives after 100 years.

	La	ımbda	ANAGA								Lambda	TENO								TOTAL projecti	on						
			projection matrix			Elasticity					projection ma	trix			elasticity matri	x			totallambda	Projection mat	rix			Elasticity matr	ix		
2006	2007	0.9878049 S	0 0	0 0.06696293	0.2372005	0	0	0	0	0.972973	0	0	0.06536824	0.2390435	0	0	0	0	0.9890427	0	0	0.06593664	0.2380747	0	0	0.00053565	0.00694362
		Y	0 0.846153	8 0	0	0	0	0	0		0.1621622	0.93548387	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.1016949	0.8947368	0	0	0.00747927	0.07	0	0
		YR	0 0.115384	6 0.88888889	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.06451613	0.90769231	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.0877193	0.9009901	0	0	0.007	0.07653098	0
		MB	0 0	0 0.08333333	0.9878049	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0.972973	0	0	0	1		0	0	0.02970297	0.9807692	0	0	0.00694362	0.82312718
2007	2008	0.9642857 S	0 0	0 0.08239978	0.2852804	0	0	0	0	0.9889227	0	0	0.089	0.282	0	0	0.00244331	0.00830904	0.9834647	0	0	0.08668489	0.2841514	0	0	0.00199982	0.0093429
		Y	0 0.818181	8 0	0	0	0	0	0		0.08823529	0.9428571	. 0	0	0.01075235	0.22007638	0	0		0.05084746	0.8947368	0	0	0.01134272	0.11438061	0	0
		YR	0 0.181818	2 0.94285714	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.0285714	0.967	0	0	0.01075235	0.47904346	0		0	0.0877193	0.95833333	0	0	0.01134272	0.43253118	0
		MR	0 0	0 0.02857143	0.9642857	0	0	0	1		0	0	0.033	0.958	0	0	0.00830904	0.26031406		0	0	0.03125	0.9615385	0	0	0.0093429	0.40971715
2008	2009	1 002 S	0.0015182 9.0.0000000	0.0844908	0.2968026	0	0	0.003	0	0 9877368	0	0	0.09636165	0 2954999	0	0	0.0040841	0	0 9933306	0.00081537	81.0.0000000	0.0918798	0 2961981	2 866143e-	06.0.0000000	0.0034888	0
		-, Y	0.0344827 6.0.7777778		0	0.003	0.001	0	0		0.4	0 7777778	0	0	0.00408411	0.01512927	0	0		0.03703703	70 0 7777778	0	0	3 488799e-	03.0.0125886	0	0
		YB	0 0 0.2222222	10.000.000	0	0	0.003	0.981791	0		0	0.22222222	0.98360656	0	0	0.0040841	0.97261841	0		0	00 0.2222222	0.9897959	0	0.000000e+	00 0.0034887	0.97694213	0
		MB	0 0 0.0000000	0	0.9634146	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0.9577465	0	0	0	0		0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0	0.9607843	0.000000e+	00 0.0000000	0	0
2009	2010	1,011 S	0 0	0 0.08698692	0.3162945	0	0	0.00787801	0.01412615	1,032	0	0	0.10165847	0.3109648	0.0000000 0	0	0.02042328	0.01005592	1,022	0.0000000	0	0.09613981	0	0	0	0.01444791	0.01232216
		Y	0.1935484 0.687	5 0	0	0.02200417	0.04669318	0	0		0.4	0.8666667	0	0	0.0304792 0	0.159252	0	0		0.295082 0	0.8043478	0	0	0.02677008	0.09865447	0	0
		YR	0 0.2	5 0.97560976	0	0	0.02200417	0.59840162	0		0	0.1333333	0.98529412	0	0.00000000	0.0304792	0.63566141	0		0.0000000	0.173913	0.98165138	0.952381	0	0.02677008	0.64160936	0
		MB	0 0	0 0.02439024	0.9620253	0	0	0.01412615	0.27476654		0	0	0.01470588	0.9411765	0.0000000 0	0	0.01005592	0.10359305		0.0000000	0	0.01834862	0.31774768	0	0	0.01232216	0.16710378
2010	2011	1 \$	0.0.0.0000000	0.08781066	0 32250852	0	0	0	0	0 971831	0	0	0 10449473	0 3121079	0	0	0	0	0 9871003	0	0	0.09811126	0.00704225	0	0.0.00000000	0.00110374	0.00105893
		Y	0.0416666 7.0.8461538	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	0 7894737	0	0	-	0	0	0		0.01923077	0.8039216	0	0 95774648	0.00216267	0.009	0	0
		YB	0.0000000	100 000 000	0.01298701	0	0	1	0		-	0 1578947	0.97183099	-	-	0	1	0		0	0 1176471	0.9826087	mature reproductive	0	0.002	0.88346086	0.00187567
		MB	0 0 0.0000000	0	0.94805195	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.01408451	0.9692308	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.00869565	0.3213742	0	0	0.00293461	0.09574944
2011	2012	0.995 S	0 0 0.0100903	0.09588847	0.3329057	0	0.00E+00	0.003	0.008	0.9760628	0	0	0.1067004	0.3080409	0	0	0.003	0	0.9821381	0	0.00288294	0.10264591	0.9637681	0	3.00E-03	0.00236872	0.00336429
		Y	0.0416666 7 0.8333333	. 0	0	0.01045226	5.00E-03	0	0		0.04545455	0.7333333	0	0	0.00275771	0.00833157	0	0		0.04347826	0.76190476	0	mature reproductive	0.00573633	2.00E-03	0	0
		YR	0 0 0.1666667	0.9777778	0	0	1.00E-02	0.56467207	0		0	0.1333333	0.9733333	0	0	0.0027577	0.983	0		0	0.14285714	0.975	0.3169204	0	0.006	0.78307975	0
		MB	0 0 0.0000000	0.02222222	0.972973	0	0.00E+00	0.008	0.342		0	0	0	0.953125	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.00833333	0.9552239	0	0	0.00336429	0.17650526
2012	2013	0.9958297_S	0 0.011007	6 0.08758224	0 3258553	0	0.00E+00	0 00144976	0.02	0 9950077	0	0	0 10392894	0 3062279	0	0	0.00564061	0.00976943	0 9912391	0	0.00345953	0.09781554	0 3146544	0	0	0.00346576	0.01774384
		Y	0 1052632 0 727272	7 0	0	0.0215931	6 00E-03	0	0		0.08	0 5416667	0	0	0.01541004	0.01841242	0	0		- 909090900	0.6	0	0	0.02122682	0.0032	0	0
		YB	0 0 181818	2 0.89130435	0	0.0215551	2 10F-02	0 19783299	0		0.00	0.4166667	0.97402597	0	0.01341004	0.01541004	0 7153736	0		0.05050505	0 34285714	0 94308943	0	0.021121002	0.0032	0 41542418	0
		MB	0 0	0 0 10869565	0 9589041	0	0.00E+00	0.02004628	0.65724159		-	0	0.02597403	0 9508197	-	0	0.00976943	0 21021444		0	0	0.05691057	0.962963	0	0	0.01774384	0.47061553
						-					-	-			-	-				-	-			-	-		
2013	2014	0.9881513 S	0 0	0 0.0947166	0.3228897	0	0	0	0	1,000	0	0	0.105155	0.3043603	0	0	0.01057535	0.00861289	0.9992326	0	0	0.1016483	0.3144788	0	0	0.00665425	0.0088738
		Y	0.0952381 0.1	7 0	0	0	0	0	0		0.125	0.7333333	0	0	0.01918823	0.05271078	0	0		0.1111111	0.72	0	0	0.01552805	0.040039	0	0
		YR	0 0.	2 0.9767442	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.2666667	0.97647059	0	0	0.01918823	0.78669576	0		0	0.24	0.9765625	0	0	0.01552805	0.66890292	0
		MB	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0	0.02352941	0.9166667	0	0	0.00861289	0.09441589		0	0	0.015625	0.9384615	0	0	0.0088738	0.23560013
2014	2015	1 007 5		0 00686603	0.2200822	0		0.00755508	0	0.0508			0.10725652	0.2025160	0		0.00543634	0.000787	0.0823048			0 1027255		0		0.00570244	0.00034533
2014	2013	1,007 3	0 1724128 01	0 0.09080092	0.5500833	0.00755508	0.020	0.00755508	0	0.9608	0 1071420	0 7322222	0.10/35052	0.2925109	0.01533334	0.04007241	0.00343634	0.009/8/	0.9823048	0.1403500	0.76	0.103/255		0.01503967	0.05141315	0.003/9344	0.00924523
		1 VP	0.1/24136 0.1	a U	0	0.00755508	0.029	0.04937316	0		0.10/1429	0.13333333	0.04117647	0	0.01522334	0.0450/341	0 7303166	0		0.1403509	0.76	0.06153846		0.01503867	0.03141315	0.60622217	0
		16	J U.	1 100,000,000	0.0505262	U	0.007	0.9462/210	0			0.13355555	0.03530442	0.0074075	0	0.01522334	0.000707	0 16635300		0	0.12	0.0000000000		0	0.01303867	0.00033217	0 107803**
		MH		v 0	0.9005203	0	0	0	U		0	0	0.03529412	0.9074074	0	0	0.009787	0.10025299		0	0	0.02307692		0	0	0.00924523	0.19/89344

seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.07870608 0.17874983 0.44972796 0.29281613 seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.1426649 0.153843 0.1532608 0.5502313 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.15566178 0.08920541 0.31136515 0.44376766 seedling young reproductive young 0.08351326 0.01434954 0.9021372 0 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.1042481 0.14094 0.5984531 0.1563588 seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.1288524 0.0135274 0.6616234 0.1959968 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.14407587 0.02844333 0.56924772 0.25823308 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.18177048 0.04223654 0.30075157 0.47524141 seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.1346749 0.0535893 0.5673297 0.2444061 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.1388909 0.08768799 0.5067126 0.26670852 seedling young young reprodu mature seedling young young reprodu mature

0.17874983
0 44072706
0.44972796
0.29281613
0.1426649
0.153843
0.1532608
0.5502313
0.15566178
0.08920541
0.31136515
0.44376766
0.08351326
0.01434954
0.9021372
0
0.1042481
0.14094
0.5984531
0.1563588
0.1288524
0.0135274
0.6616234
0.1959968
0.14407587
0.02844333
0.56924772
0.25823308
0.181//048
0.04223654
0.30075157
0.47524141
0.1346749
0.0535893
0.56/329/
0.244406
0 120000
0.1388909
0.1388909 0.08768799

Temporal series	ANAGA	TENO	TOTAL
Same year	-0.202	-0.228	-0.213
1 previous year	-0.017	-0.205	0.137
2 previous years	0.103	-0.116	0.141

seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.07870608 0.17874983 0.44972796 0.29281613 seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.1426649 0.153843 0.1532608 0.5502313 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.15566178 0.08920541 0.31136515 0.44376766 seedling young reproductive young 0.08351326 0.01434954 0.9021372 0 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.1042481 0.14094 0.5984531 0.1563588 seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.1288524 0.0135274 0.6616234 0.1959968 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.14407587 0.02844333 0.56924772 0.25823308 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.18177048 0.04223654 0.30075157 0.47524141 seedling young reproduce reproductive young 0.1346749 0.0535893 0.5673297 0.2444061 seedling young young reproduce reproductive 0.1388909 0.08768799 0.5067126 0.26670852 seedling young young reprodu mature seedling young young reprodu mature

0.17874983
0 44072700
0.44972796
0.29281613
0.1426649
0.153843
0.1532608
0.5502313
0.15566178
0.08920541
0.31136515
0.44376766
0.08351326
0.01434954
0.9021372
0
0.1042481
0.14094
0.5984531
0.1563588
0.1288524
0.0135274
0.6616234
0.1959968
0.14407587
0.02844333
0.56924772
0.25823308
0.181//048
0.04223654
0.30075157
0.47524141
0.1346749
0.0535893
0.56/329/
0.244406
0 120000
0.1388909
0.1388909 0.08768799





b) Deterministic trajectories











c) Index of growth





# Chek here to access/download;Figure,®up.fig2.pdf ±

