PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 061502(R) (2015)

Unimodular gravity redux

E. Álvarez, ^{1,2,*} S. González-Martín, ^{1,2,†} M. Herrero-Valea, ^{1,2,‡} and C. P. Martín ^{3,§} ¹Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, C/ Nicolas Cabrera, 13-15, C.U. Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

²Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 20849 Madrid, Spain ³Departamento de Física Teórica I, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Av. Complutense S/N (Ciudad Univ.), 28040 Madrid, Spain (Received 4 May 2015; published 22 September 2015)

It is well known that the problem of the cosmological constant appears in a new light in unimodular gravity. In particular, the zero-momentum piece of the potential does not automatically produce a corresponding cosmological constant. Here we show that quantum corrections do not renormalize the classical value of this observable.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.061502 PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 03.70.+k

I. INTRODUCTION

There are many facets to the problem of the cosmological constant. One of them is to explain why the vacuum energy does not produce a huge value. It would appear that either Wilsonian ideas of effective theories do not work in this case, or else that vacuum energy does not obey the equivalence principle.

In unimodular gravity the vacuum energy (actually all potential energy) is naively decoupled from gravitation, because when the spacetime metric is unimodular, that is

$$\hat{g} \equiv \det \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = -1,\tag{1}$$

the interaction term between the potential energy and the metric is of the type

$$S_{\rm in} \equiv \int d^n x \left(\frac{1}{2} \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \psi \partial_{\nu} \psi - V(\psi) \right), \tag{2}$$

(where ψ generically stands for any matter field), so that the matter potential does not couple to gravitation at the Lagrangian level. Actually things are not so simple, and there is an interaction forced upon us by the Bianchi identity. A novel aspect is that the unimodular condition breaks full diffeomorphism invariance $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ (where M is the spacetime manifold) to a subgroup $\operatorname{TDiff}(M)$ consisting of those diffeomorphisms $x \to y$ that have unit Jacobian, that is

$$\det \frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = 1. \tag{3}$$

Incidentally, van der Bij, van Dam, and Ng [1] showed a long time ago that TDiff is enough to make gauge artifacts of the three excess gauge polarizations when going to the

massless limit in a spin-two flat space theory. (There are five polarizations in the massive case and only two in the massless limit).

It is possible (and technically convenient) to formulate the theory in such a way that it has an added Weyl invariance by writing

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{-\frac{1}{n}} g_{\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

because then the variations $\delta g_{\alpha\beta}$ are unconstrained, whereas

$$\hat{g}^{\alpha\beta}\delta\hat{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{5}$$

We shall first recall in what sense the issue of the cosmological constant is changed in unimodular gravity; this we first do in a flat space setting, and then in the full nonlinear theory. We then will report on a one-loop calculation in which we computed the counterterm and found that no cosmological constant is generated to this order. The argument can actually be extended to any order in perturbation theory.

II. FLAT SPACE GAUGE SYMMETRY

In Ref. [2] an analysis of the most general action principle built out of dimension-four operators for a spin-two field $h_{\mu\nu}$ was made, namely

$$L \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{4} C_{i} \mathcal{O}^{(i)}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \partial_{\mu} h_{\rho\sigma} \partial^{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{(2)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \partial^{\rho} h_{\rho\sigma} \partial_{\mu} h^{\mu\sigma}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{(3)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} h \partial_{\lambda} h^{\mu\lambda}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^{(4)} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \partial_{\mu} h \partial^{\mu} h,$$

$$(7)$$

enrique.alvarez@uam.es sergio.gonzalez.martin@csic.es

mario.herrero@csic.es

[§]carmelop@fis.ucm.es

E. ÁLVAREZ et al.

where all indices are raised and lowered with the flat space metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, and $h \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$. Also $C_1 = 1$ fixes the global normalization. The result of the analysis was that LTDiff (that is, linearized TDiff) invariance forces

$$C_2 = 1, (8)$$

where LTDiff symmetry is just invariance under the transformations

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} \xi_{\mu} \tag{9}$$

with

$$\partial_{\mu}\xi^{\mu} = 0. \tag{10}$$

The most important result was, however, the following. Amongst all the TDiff invariant theories obtained for arbitrary values of C_3 and C_4 , there are only two that propagate spin-two only, without any admixture of spin-zero. These include

$$C_3 = C_4 = 1, (11)$$

which has an enhanced symmetry under linearized diffeomorphisms (without the transversality restriction). This is the Fierz-Pauli theory.

The other one corresponds to

$$C_3 = \frac{2}{n} \qquad C_4 = \frac{n+2}{n^2}.$$
 (12)

This second theory is actually a truncation of the Fierz-Pauli one, obtained by

$$h_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{n} h \eta_{\mu\nu} \tag{13}$$

(which is not a field redefinition because it is not invertible). This theory was called WTDiff and is actually the linear limit of unimodular gravity.

III. THE NONLINEAR REGIME

The truncation of general relativity to unimodular metrics is simply

$$\begin{split} S &\equiv -M_P^{n-2} \int d^n x (R[\hat{g}] + L_{\text{matt}}[\psi_i, \hat{g}]) \\ &= -M_P^{n-2} \int d^n x |g|^{\frac{1}{n}} \bigg(R + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{4n^2} \\ &\times \frac{\nabla_{\mu} g \nabla^{\mu} g}{g^2} + L_{\text{matt}} \bigg). \end{split} \tag{14}$$

In terms of a general metric, the equations of motion (EM) are given [2] by the manifestly traceless expression

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 061502(R) (2015)

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{n} R g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(n-2)(2n-1)}{4n^2} \left(\frac{\nabla_{\mu} g \nabla_{\nu} g}{g^2} - \frac{1}{n} \frac{(\nabla g)^2}{g^2} g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{n-2}{2n} \left(\frac{\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} g}{g} - \frac{1}{n} \frac{\nabla^2 g}{g} g_{\mu\nu} \right) = M_P^{2-n} \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{n} T g_{\mu\nu} \right). \tag{15}$$

When |g| = 1 they are quite similar to the ones posited in 1919 by Einstein for obscure reasons [3,4] related to Mie's theory.

Now it is easy to see that the Bianchi identities bring the trace back into the game, albeit in a slightly different form,

$$\frac{n-2}{2n}\nabla_{\mu}R = -\frac{1}{n}\nabla_{\mu}T,\tag{16}$$

which integrates to

$$\frac{n-2}{2n}R + \frac{1}{n}T = -C, (17)$$

so that going back to (15) we recover the full Einstein equations,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} - Cg_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu},\tag{18}$$

with an arbitrary integration constant which takes the role of a cosmological constant and whose value is to be defined by boundary conditions. Moreover, since the original equations couple to the traceless part of the energy-momentum tensor, any possible vacuum energy, or dynamical cosmological constant coming from a non-trivial minimum in the potential of a scalar field, is absorbed into the still arbitrary constant [5].

IV. QUANTUM CORRECTIONS

We have computed [6] the quantum corrections around an arbitrary unimodular background,

$$g_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu},\tag{19}$$

with

$$|\bar{g}| = 1. \tag{20}$$

The calculation has some technical complications stemming from the fact that the full gauge symmetry is a combination of $\mathrm{TDiff}(M)$ with $\mathrm{Weyl}(M)$. This makes the gauge fixing somewhat involved, and solving the problem requires the introduction of a gauge-fixing term through a Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin quantization instead of the usual and simpler Faddeev-Poppov technique. The most important issue is that we need to introduce a new collection of fields representing not only the usual

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 92, 061502(R) (2015)

Faddeev-Poppov ghost fields but also a collection of Nielsen-Kallosh ghosts in order to implement the constraint over transverse diffeomorphisms $\nabla_{\mu}c^{T\mu}=0$. The full set of fields required for the quantization is then

$$\begin{split} & h_{\mu\nu}^{(0,0)}, c_{\mu}^{(1,1)}, b_{\mu}^{(1,-1)}, f_{\mu}^{(0,0)}, \phi^{(0,2)} \\ & \pi^{(1,-1)}, \pi'^{(1,1)}, \bar{c}^{(0,-2)}, c'^{(0,0)} \\ & c^{(1,1)}, b^{(1,-1)}, f^{(0,0)}, \end{split}$$

where (m, n) are the Grassman number, defined mod 2, and the ghost number of each field.

Here we have three families—displayed in three lines—of fields. The first line includes the physical graviton field together with the usual ghost field content we would assign naively to fix an unrestricted Diff symmetry together with an extra Nielsen-Kallosh field ϕ . The second line represents the field content introduced to fix the gauge symmetry inherited by the ghost fields. Finally, the third line is the field content due to Weyl invariance.

This way $S_{\rm UG}+S_{\rm fixing}$ will then contain interactions between all the different fields. However, by choosing the gauge fixing properly, all the complications can be contained in only one of the quadratic operators, the one involving $h_{\mu\nu}$, f and c' which happens to be nonminimal. Its determinant has been computed using the generalized Barvinsky-Vilkovisky technique developed by [7]. Full details will be given in [6] but let us emphasize that we have been able to keep one free gauge parameter in all intermediate steps, which should cancel when putting the final counterterm on shell owing to the Kallosh theorem. It does, indeed, which is a test of our computations.

At any rate, the free background EM are given by

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n} \bar{R} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu},\tag{21}$$

which implies

$$\bar{R} = \text{constant},$$
 (22)

as well as

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}\bar{R}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n}\bar{R}^2 = \text{constant.}$$
 (23)

This in turn means that the Weyl tensor squared W_4 , which is related to the Euler density E_4 by the four-dimensional relationship

$$W_4 = E_4 + 2\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}\bar{R}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{3}\bar{R}^2 = E_4 + \text{constant},$$
 (24)

is also a topological density modulo a dynamically irrelevant term.

The one-loop counterterm is

$$S_{\infty} = \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}(n-4)} \int d^{4}x \left(\frac{119}{90} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{83}{120} R^{2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}(n-4)} \int d^{4}x \left(\frac{119}{90} E_{4} + \text{constant} \right). \tag{25}$$

This is to be contrasted with the counterterm of general relativity with a cosmological constant [8]

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2(n-4)} \int \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} d^4x \left(-\frac{1142}{135} \Lambda^2 + \frac{53}{45} W_4 \right). \tag{26}$$

This result has been obtained in dimensional regularization, where quadratic divergences are regularized to zero. The physical meaning of quadratic divergences in quantum gravity has been subject to some discussion in the literature cf. [9–11]. Now the important thing is that all constant contributions, which usually contribute to the cosmological constant because they couple to the metric through the volume element, are here dynamically irrelevant precisely because they do not couple to the gravitational field. Those terms that we computed, in spite of being gauge independent, are then physically irrelevant.

V. CONCLUSIONS

It has been argued in this paper that quantum corrections do not generate a cosmological constant in unimodular gravity. It would be more precise to say that the cosmological constant is generated, but it does not couple to the gravitational field.

It is worth remarking that although we have performed an explicit computation at the one-loop level only, our result can be extended to any loop order, since it relies only in the fact that the corresponding operators are nondynamical (or in the absence of anomaly) and not in the particular numerical value of the counterterms.

The result reported in this paper is not a consequence of having chosen $\bar{g} = -1$, but rather it stems from Weyl symmetry which prevents dimension-zero terms in the action. That is,

$$S = M_P^n \int d^n x (-\bar{g})^\beta \tag{27}$$

for any nonvanishing β .

We believe this is a step forward in the understanding of the cosmological constant.

Unimodular gravity is quite close to general relativity in spite of the technical complications caused by the absence of full Diff(M) symmetry. It is worth exploring it in further detail to fully understand the subtle differences between both theories.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge useful discussions with A.O. Barvinsky and C.F. Steinwachs. This work has been partially supported by the European Union FP7 ITN INVISIBLES (Marie Curie Actions, PITN- GA-2011-289442, and HPRN-CT-200-00148) as well as by

FPA2012-31880 (MICINN, Spain), FPA2011-24568 (MICINN, Spain), S2009ESP-1473 (CA Madrid), and COST Action MP1210 (The String Theory Universe). The authors acknowledge the support of the Spanish MINECO Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa Program under Grant No. SEV-2012-0249.

- J. J. van der Bij, H. van Dam, and Y. J. Ng, The exchange of massless spin two particles, Physica A (Amsterdam) 116A, 307 (1982).
- [2] E. Alvarez, D. Blas, J. Garriga, and E. Verdaguer, Transverse Fierz-Pauli symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B756, 148 (2006); E. Alvarez and M. Herrero-Valea, Unimodular gravity with external sources, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 01 (2013) 014.
- [3] A. Einstein, Do gravitational fields play an essential part in the structure of the elementary particles of matter?, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.) 1919, 433 (1919).
- [4] G. F. R. Ellis, The trace-free Einstein equations and inflation, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 46, 1619 (2014).
- [5] R. Carballo-Rubio, Longitudinal diffeomorphisms obstruct the protection of vacuum energy, Phys. Rev. D 91, 124071 (2015).
- [6] E. Alvarez, S. González-Martín, M-Herrero-Valea, and C. P. Martín, Quantum corrections to unimodular gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2015) 078; E. Alvarez, M. Herrero-Valea,

- and C.P. Martin, Conformal and non-conformal dilaton gravity, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2014) 115.
- [7] A. O. Barvinsky and G. A. Vilkovisky, The generalized Schwinger-Dewitt technique in gauge theories and quantum gravity, Phys. Rep. **119**, 1 (1985).
- [8] S. M. Christensen and M. J. Duff, Quantizing gravity with a cosmological constant, Nucl. Phys. B170, 480 (1980).
- [9] M. Reuter, Nonperturbative evolution equation for quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. D 57, 971 (1998).
- [10] D. J. Toms, Quadratic divergences and quantum gravitational contributions to gauge coupling constants, Phys. Rev. D 84, 084016 (2011); The background-field method and the renormalization of non-Abelian gauge theories in curved space-time, Phys. Rev. D 27, 1803 (1983).
- [11] M. M. Anber and J. F. Donoghue, On the running of the gravitational constant, Phys. Rev. D 85, 104016 (2012); Running couplings and operator mixing in the gravitational corrections to coupling constants, Phys. Rev. D 83, 124003 (2011).