

REMARKS ON ORNSTEIN'S NON-INEQUALITY IN $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$

by DANIEL FARACO[†]

(Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain)

and ANDRÉ GUERRA[‡]

(University of Oxford, Mathematical Institute, Andrew Wiles Building, Woodstock Rd, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK)

[Received 10 June 2020]

Abstract

We give a very concise proof of Ornstein's L^1 non-inequality for first- and second-order operators in two dimensions. The proof just needs a two-dimensional laminate supported on three points.

Given two linear constant-coefficient homogeneous k th order differential operators $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$ and a number $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, consider the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{P}_1\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|\mathcal{P}_2\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m), \quad (0.1)$$

where $0 < C_p$ is some constant. When does such an estimate hold?

The case $1 < p < \infty$ is very classical: if we take $\mathcal{P}_1 = D^k$ to be the k th order gradient then (0.1) holds if and only if \mathcal{P}_2 is an elliptic operator (in the sense that it has injective symbol); this is a classical result which goes back to the work of Calderón and Zygmund [4]. We refer the reader to [13] for a generalization of (0.1) which allows for operators with non-trivial kernels.

At the end-points $p = 1$ or $p = \infty$, (0.1) never holds, except in trivial situations: this was proved, respectively, by Ornstein [23] and Mityagin [20], but see also [9] for the $p = \infty$ case. In some circumstances one can deduce the result for $p = \infty$ from the one for $p = 1$, see for instance [3, 19] for the case $\mathcal{P}_2 = \text{div}$, and in fact the result for $p = 1$ is much more difficult. Similar results also hold in the anisotropic setting, see [14, 24].

The failure of (0.1) when $p = 1$ can also be deduced from the Kirchheim–Kristensen convexity theorem [15, 16]. Besides providing a concise proof of Ornstein's result, their theorem also has applications to the regularity of Hessians of rank-one convex functions and to the characterization of gradient Young measures [17, 18]. Both the failure of (0.1) when $p = 1$, $\mathcal{P}_1 = D$, $\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{E}$, as well as the existence of rank-one convex functions on three-dimensional spaces with irregular Hessians, were proved by the first author and collaborators in [5, 6] through constructions with unbounded laminates (the so-called staircase laminates) introduced in [10, 11]. See also [1] and [12, 22] for related problems for $p > 1$. Such laminates can be used to provide a fairly explicit deformation showing

[†]E-mail: daniel.faraco@uam.es

[‡]Corresponding author. E-mail: andre.guerra@maths.ox.ac.uk

Ornstein's non-inequality, but their construction is somewhat complicated as it includes an infinite process.

The purpose of this note is to give an alternative proof of Ornstein's result when $n = m = 2$ and $k = 1$. These assumptions encompass the case where $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathbf{D}$ and \mathcal{P}_2 is any of the operators

$$(\text{div}, \text{curl}), \quad \mathcal{E}u \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{D}u + (\mathbf{D}u)^T), \quad \bar{\partial}u \equiv \mathcal{E}u - \frac{\text{div}u}{2}\text{Id}_2,$$

which appear respectively in electromagnetism, linearized elasticity and complex analysis. Our strategy is similar to the one of Kirchheim–Kristensen [15, 16] and in fact we prove a particular case of their convexity theorem. However, our approach is less elaborate, as the singular value decomposition reduces the problem to building a laminate on the diagonal matrices and, since the integrand of interest is 1-homogeneous, the laminate is very simple. An interesting question that we do not address here is whether, at least for integrands with symmetries, there exists a Kirchheim–Kristensen theory for $p > 1$.

For a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we recall that:

- (a) f is *quasiconvex* at $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ if $0 \leq \int_{\Omega} f(A + \mathbf{D}\varphi) - f(A) \, dx$ for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$;
- (b) f is *rank-one convex* if $t \mapsto f(A + tX)$ is convex for all $A, X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\text{rank} X = 1$;
- (c) f is *positively 1-homogeneous* if $f(tA) = tf(A)$ for all $t > 0$ and all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$;
- (d) f is *1-homogeneous* if $f(tA) = |t|f(A)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$.

It is well known that the definition in (a) is independent of Ω and that (a) \Rightarrow (b), see [7], although in general the converse is not true [27]. In [16], the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM 0.1 (*Kirchheim–Kristensen*) *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be positively 1-homogeneous and rank-one convex. Then f is convex at all matrices X with $\text{rank} X \leq 1$.*

The reader may find other results concerning positively 1-homogeneous rank-one convex functions in [8, 21, 25]. In the planar case, there is a particularly simple proof of Theorem 0.1 for 1-homogeneous functions:

LEMMA 0.2 *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be 1-homogeneous and rank-one convex. Then $f \geq 0$ and moreover, as $f(0) = 0$, f is convex at zero.*

Proof. For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ the singular value decomposition yields $Q, R \in \mathbf{O}(2)$ and $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{diag}}^{2 \times 2}$ such that $A = Q\Lambda R$; moreover, the entries of Λ are non-negative. Let us write $(x, y) \equiv \text{diag}(x, y)$. If $0 \neq A$ then, by homogeneity, we can assume that $\Lambda = (1, y)$, where $y \geq 0$. The measure

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{Q(2, -2y)R} + \frac{1}{3}\delta_{Q(1, y)R} + \frac{1}{6}\delta_{Q(-2, -2y)R}$$

is a laminate with barycentre $Q(1, -y)R$. Indeed, we have the splittings

$$(1, -y) \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}(1, y) + \frac{2}{3}(1, -2y) \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}(1, y) + \frac{1}{6}(-2, -2y) + \frac{1}{2}(2, -2y)$$

and the map $A \mapsto QAR$ is rank-preserving. Since f is rank-one convex and 1-homogeneous,

$$\begin{aligned} f(Q(1, -y)R) &\leq \frac{1}{2}f(Q(2, -2y)R) + \frac{1}{3}f(Q(1, y)R) + \frac{1}{6}f(Q(-2, -2y)R) \\ &= f(Q(1, -y)R) + \frac{1}{3}f(A) + \frac{1}{3}f(-A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $0 \leq f(A) + f(-A) = 2f(A)$ and the proof is finished. \square

REMARK 0.3 An identical proof gives the same conclusion if $f: \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; in this case one takes $R = Q^T$, since symmetric matrices are diagonalizable by orthogonal matrices.

From Lemma 0.2, we get a two-dimensional version of Ornstein's non-inequality:

THEOREM 0.4 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded open set and let \mathcal{P}_i be first-order differential operators, $i = 1, 2$, acting on $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ by $\mathcal{P}_i\varphi = P_i(D\varphi)$, where $P_i \in \text{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}, \mathbb{R}^{d_i})$.*

Suppose that there is a constant C such that

$$\|\mathcal{P}_1\varphi\|_{L^1} \leq C\|\mathcal{P}_2\varphi\|_{L^1} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2). \quad (0.2)$$

Then there is $T \in \text{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^{d_2}, \mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ such that $P_1 = T \circ P_2$. Moreover, the same conclusion is true if we require that (0.2) holds only for those φ of the form $\varphi = \nabla\phi$ for some $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(A) = C\|P_2A\| - \|P_1A\|$. Its quasiconvex envelope $f^{\text{qc}}: \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is given by the Dacorogna formula

$$f^{\text{qc}}(A) = \inf_{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(A + D\varphi) \, dx;$$

it is easily checked that f^{qc} is 1-homogeneous, since the same holds for f . Note that (0.2) is equivalent to $f^{\text{qc}}(0) \geq 0$; thus $f^{\text{qc}} > -\infty$ everywhere and hence f^{qc} is rank-one convex. Applying Lemma 0.2 we see that $0 \leq f^{\text{qc}} \leq f$ and so we must have $\ker P_2 \subseteq \ker P_1$. Take $T = P_1P_2^\dagger$, where P_2^\dagger is the Moore–Penrose inverse, defined by

$$P_2^\dagger \equiv (P_2|_{(\ker P_2)^\perp})^{-1} \text{Proj}_{\text{im } P_2}.$$

Since $P_2^\dagger P_2$ is the orthogonal projection onto $(\ker P_2)^\perp$, the conclusion follows.

The last part is identical, except that we replace Lemma 0.2 with Remark 0.3: if (0.2) holds for all potential vector fields then $(f|_{\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{2 \times 2}})^{\text{qc}}(0) \geq 0$, see [2, 26] for quasiconvexity on $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$. \square

In particular, from the second part of Theorem 0.4 we recover [23, Part 1]:

COROLLARY 0.5 *Given a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, there is no constant C such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_1x_2}\phi(x)| \, dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_1x_1}\phi(x)| + |\partial_{x_2x_2}\phi(x)| \, dx \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Jan Kristensen for interesting comments. Daniel Faraco acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D (CEX2019-000904-S) and the ICMAT Severo Ochoa grant SEV2015-0554.

Funding

Daniel Faraco was partially supported by the Line of excellence for University Teaching Staff between CM and UAM, by the ERC Advanced Grant 834728 and by the MTM2017-85934-C3-2-P. André Guerra was supported by [EP/L015811/1].

References

1. K. Astala, D. Faraco and L. Székelyhidi Jr., Convex integration and the L^p theory of elliptic equations, *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze* **7** no. 5 (2008), 1–50.
2. J. Ball, J. Currie and P. Olver, Null Lagrangians, weak continuity, and variational problems of arbitrary order, *J. Funct. Anal.* **41** no. 2 (1981), 135–174.
3. J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, On the equation $\operatorname{div} Y = f$ and application to control of phases, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **16** no. 2 (2002), 393–427.
4. A. P. Calderon and A. Zygmund, On the existence of certain singular integrals, *Acta Math.* **88** no. 1 (1952), 85–139.
5. S. Conti, D. Faraco and F. Maggi, A new approach to counterexamples to L^1 estimates: Korn’s inequality, geometric rigidity, and regularity for gradients of separately convex functions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **175** no. 2 (2005), 287–300.
6. S. Conti, D. Faraco, F. Maggi and S. Müller, Rank-one convex functions on 2×2 symmetric matrices and laminates on rank-three lines, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equations* **24** no. 4 (2005), 479–493.
7. B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Vol. 78, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, New York, 2007.
8. B. Dacorogna and P. Marechal, The role of perspective functions in convexity, polyconvexity, rank-one convexity and separate convexity, *J. Convex Anal.* **15** no. 2 (2008), 271–284.
9. K. de Leeuw and H. Mirkil, Majorations dans L^∞ des opérateurs différentiels à coefficients constants, *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics* **254** (1962), 2286–2288.
10. D. Faraco, Milton’s conjecture on the regularity of solutions to isotropic equations, *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Analyse Non Linéaire* **20** no. 5 (2003), 889–909.
11. D. Faraco, Tartar conjecture and Beltrami operators, *Mich. Math. J.* **52** no. 1 (2004), 83–104.
12. D. Faraco, C. Mora-Corral and M. Oliva, Sobolev homeomorphisms with gradients of low rank via laminates, *Adv. Calc. Var.* **11** no. 2 (2018), 111–138.
13. A. Guerra and B. Raiță, On the necessity of the constant rank condition for L^p estimates, *C.R. Math.* **358** no. 9–10 (2021), 1091–1095.
14. K. Kazaniecki, D. M. Stolyarov and M. Wojciechowski, Anisotropic Ornstein noninequalities, *Anal. PDE* **10** no. 2 (2017), 351–366.

15. B. Kirchheim and J. Kristensen, Automatic convexity of rank-1 convex functions, *C.R. Math.* **349** no. 7-8 (2011), 407–409.
16. B. Kirchheim and J. Kristensen, On rank one convex functions that are homogeneous of degree one, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **221** no. 1 (2016), 527–558.
17. J. Kristensen and B. Raiță, *Oscillation and concentration in sequences of PDE constrained measures*, arXiv:1912.09190 (2019), 1–20.
18. J. Kristensen and F. Rindler, Characterization of generalized gradient young measures generated by sequences in $W^{1,1}$ and BV, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **197** no. 2 (2010), 539–598.
19. C. T. McMullen, Lipschitz maps and nets in Euclidean space, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8** no. 2 (1998), 304–314.
20. B. S. Mityagin, On second mixed derivative, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, Russian Academy of Sciences **123** (1958), 606–609.
21. S. Müller, On quasiconvex functions which are homogeneous of degree 1, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **41** no. 1 (1992), 295–301.
22. M. Oliva, Bi-Sobolev homeomorphisms f with Df and Df^{-1} of low rank using laminates, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equations* **55** no. 6 (2016), 1–38.
23. D. Ornstein, A non-inequality for differential operators in the L_1 norm, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **11** no. 1 (1962), 40–49.
24. A. Prosinski, *Existence of minimisers of variational problems posed in spaces of mixed smoothness*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.03930 (2021).
25. V. Šverák, Quasiconvex functions with subquadratic growth, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A: Math. Phys. Sci.* **433** no. 1889 (1991), 723–725.
26. V. Šverák, New examples of quasiconvex functions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **119** no. 4 (1992), 293–300.
27. V. Šverák, Rank-one convexity does not imply quasiconvexity, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh: Section A Math.* **120** 1–2 (1992), 185–189.