

Repositorio Institucional de la Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

https://repositorio.uam.es

This is the electronic supporting information (ESI) for:

Chemistry - A European Journal 25.53 (2019): 12394-12404

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201902052

Copyright: © 2019 Wiley - VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

El acceso a la versión del editor puede requerir la suscripción del recurso

Access to the published version may require subscription

Electronic Supporting Information

Synergistic effect of covalent bonding and physical confinement of sulfur in the pores of a microporous COF to improve cycling performance in Li-S batteries

Sergio Royuela,^[a,b] Joaquín Almarza,^[a,c] María J. Mancheño,^[a] Juan C. Pérez-

Flores,^[d] Enrique G. Michel,^[e,f] María M. Ramos,^[b] Félix Zamora,^[f,g,h,i] Pilar Ocón, *^[c]

José L. Segura *[a]

[a] Departamento de Química Orgánica I, Facultad de CC. Químicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

[b] Departamento de Tecnología Química y Ambiental, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Madrid, Spain

[c] Departamento de Química Física Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

[d] Instituto de Investigación de Energías Renovables, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, 02006 Albacete, Spain

[e] Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

[f] Condensed Matter Physics Center (IFIMAC). Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

[g] Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

[h] Institute for Advanced Research in Chemical Sciences (IAdChem), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

[i] Instituto Madrileño de Estudios Avanzados en Nanociencia (IMDEA-Nanociencia), Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>pilar.ocon@uam.es</u> (P. Ocón), <u>segura@ucm.es</u> (J. L. Segura)



1,4-dibromo-2,5-dimethoxybenzene (2).¹ To a solution of *p*-dimethoxybenzene (5.0 g) in anhydrous dichloromethane (25 mL) Br_2 (9.21 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature in the absence of light. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour and poured into an excess amount of 1M KOH. The solution was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. After recrystallization from ethanol **2** was obtained as a white solid (9.65 g, 90%).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 7.10 (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 150.5, 117.1, 110.5, 57.0.

2,5-dimethoxyterephthalaldehyde (3).² A solution of **2** (500 mg) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF, 8.5 mL) was cooled to -78 °C and *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in hexane, 2.3 mL) was added dropwise. After 3 hours, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF, 0.6 mL) was added and the mixture stirred for 1 hour at -78 °C warmed to RT and stirred for an additional hour. The reaction was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride, THF was eliminated under reduced pressure and the resulting solution extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel flash, hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1) to afford a yellow solid (258 mg, 78 %).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 10.49 (s, 2H), 7.45 (s, 2H), 3.94 (s, 6H).

 ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta(\text{ppm})$: 189.3, 155.7, 129.1, 110.9, 56.2.

2,5-dihydroxyterephthalaldehyde (4).³ To a solution of **3** (900 mg) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) under Ar atmosphere BBr₃ (1M in CH_2Cl_2 , 20 mL) was added dropwise at -78 °C. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. Water (40 mL) was added to quench the reaction and the resulting mixture was extracted repeatedly with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the resulting yellow solid was recrystallized three times from AcOEt yielding 696 mg (90 %) of compound **4**.

¹H NMR (300 MHz,CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 10.23 (s, 2H), 9.96 (s, 2H), 7.24 (s, 2H).

¹³C-RMN (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm) :190.2, 152.8, 127.7, 115.17.

FTIR(CH₂Cl₂) (cm⁻¹): 3279, 3050, 2888, 1668, 1476, 1280, 1127, 888, 832, 796, 679.

2,5-bis(allyloxy)terephthalaldehyde (BATA). A mixture of **3** (200 mg) and anhydrous K_2CO_3 (520 mg) in anhydrous DMF (5.5 mL) was heated to 60 °C for 30 minutes, cooled to room temperature and allyl bromide (0.25 mL) was added. After stirring overnight water was added to precipitate the product, which was collected by filtration, washed with water and purified by column chromatography (silica gel flash, hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 8:2). **BATA** was obtained as a yellow solid (188 mg, 64%).

¹H RMN (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 10.53 (s, 2H, -CHO), 7.44 (s, 2H, Ph), 6.06 (ddt, ³*J*_{cis}=17.1 Hz, ³*J*_{trans}=10.5 Hz, ³*J*=5.1 Hz; 2H, -CH=), 5.44 (ddt, ³*J*_{cis}=17.1 Hz, ²*J*=1.3 Hz ⁴*J*=1.3 Hz; 2H, =CH₂), 5.34 (ddt, ³*J*_{trans}=10.5 Hz, ²*J*=1.3 Hz, ⁴*J*=1.3 Hz; 2H, =CH₂), 4.67 (dt, ³*J* = 5.1 Hz, ⁴*J* = 1.3 Hz; 4H, -CH₂-). ¹³C RMN (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 189.3, 154.9, 132.2, 129.5, 118.6, 112.3, 69.9.

FTIR(CH₂Cl₂) (cm⁻¹): 2872, 1679, 1482, 1423, 1409, 1263, 1214, 1128, 1096, 1000, 910, 731.

MS (ESI) m/z = 269 [M+Na]⁺ Elemental Analysis (%) calcld. for C₁₄H₁₄O₄: C, 68.28; H, 5.73; found: C, 68.16; H, 5.89; N 0.13.



Figure S1. a) FTIR of DHTA and TAPP monomers, H_2P -COF and S@ H_2P -COF. b) XRD of H_2P -COF, S@ H_2P -COF and elemental sulfur. c) Argon adsorption isotherms of H_2P -COF and S@ H_2P -COF.



Figure S2. N 1s peak for the S@H₂P-COF sample (hv = 1253.6 eV). Numbers identify the different components (black lines): 1 (pyrrole N), 2 (imine N), 3 (aza N) and 4, 5 (Mg K α satellites). Black dots are experimental points and the red line is the results of fit.



Figure S3. N 1s peak for the S@H₂P-COF-BATA sample (hv = 1253.6 eV). Numbers identify the different components (black lines): 1 (pyrrole N), 2 (imine N), 3 (aza N) and 4, 5 (Mg Ka satellites). Black dots are experimental points and the red line is the results of fit.



Figure S4. C 1s peak for the $S@H_2P$ -COF sample (hv = 1253.6 eV). Numbers identify the different components (black lines): 1 (C=C bonds), 2 (C-N bonds type 1), 3 (C-N bonds type 2), 4 (C-F bonds from polymer). Black dots are experimental points and the red line is the results of fit.



Figure S5. C 1s peak for the S@H₂P-COF-BATA sample (hv = 1253.6 eV). Numbers identify the different components (black lines): 1 (C=C bonds), 2 (C-N bonds type 1), 3 (C-N bonds type 2), 4 (C-F bonds from polymer). Black dots are experimental points and the red line is the results of fit.



Figure S6. S 2p peak for the **S@H₂P-COF** sample (hv = 1253.6 eV). Numbers identify the different components (black lines): 1 (S-S bonds in sulfur chains) and 2 (sulfate). Each component corresponds to an S 2p doublet (dashed lines). Black dots are experimental points and the red line is the results of fit.



Figure S7. S 2p peak for the S@H₂P-COF-BATA sample (hv = 1253.6 eV). Numbers identify the different components (black lines): 1 (S-S bonds in sulfur chains) and 2 (sulfate). Each component corresponds to an S 2p doublet (dashed lines). Black dots are experimental points and the red line is the results of fit.

XPS analysis

N 1s is expected to present three different components, corresponding to pyrrole and aza nitrogen in the porphyrin, and to imine N in the DHTA/BATA groups. The expected binding energies and atomic proportions of each component is the same for $S@H_2P$ -COF and $S@H_2P$ -COF-BATA and is summarized in Table S1.

Table S1. Relative atomic fraction and reported binding energies for the different nitrogens present in porphyrin-based materials.

N species	Relative	atomic	fraction	in	BE (eV)	Ref.						
	S@H ₂ P-COF, S@H ₂ P-COF-BATA											
Pyrrole	2				400.2	[4]						
					399.7	[5]						
					400.0	[5]						
					400.1	[6]						
Imine	3				399.0	[7]						
					399.3	[7]						
					399.6	[7]						
Aza	2				397.9	[4]						
					397.7	[5]						
					398.0	[5]						
					398.0	[6]						

The average BE for each species is 400.0 eV (Pyrrole), 399.3 (Imine) and 397.9 (Aza). Considering the experimental resolution, only two components are distinguished in the spectra, one corresponding to pyrrole and imine N, which are split 0.7 eV, and another one for aza nitrogen (split 1.4 eV from imine N). The two components are expected to be split by 1.7 eV with an intensity ratio of 2.5 (3+2/2), in good agreement with the experimental splitting (1.6 eV) and intensity ratio (2.5).

C 1s presents three components assigned to -C=C- bonds (285.0 eV), C bonds to N type 1 (imine and pyrrole N, at 286.5 eV) and C bonds to N type 2 (aza N, 288.9 eV). Under XPS detection conditions, no C-S bonds are identified.

The fraction of S atoms bonded to C atoms is calculated considering the relative intensities of the corresponding S-C component in S 2p and the -C=C- (main) component in C 1s, taking into account the cross sections for each core level.^{5, 6, 8, 9} The result is that a fraction corresponding to 1% of C atoms are bond to sulfur atoms, in good agreement with the relative abundance of available -C= bonds in **H**₂**P**-**COF-BATA**, which is 1.4% of C atoms in the -C=C- form.



Figure S8. ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectrum of H₂P-COF-BATA.



Figure S9. ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectrum of H₂P-COF.



Figure S10. ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectrum of S@H₂P-COF-BATA.



Figure S11. ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectrum of S@H₂P-COF.



Figure S12. Pore size distribution profile of (a) H_2P -COF-BATA and (b) H_2P -COF.



Figure S13. TGA of (a) H_2P -COF-BATA (black) and $S@H_2P$ -COF-BATA (red); (b) H_2P -COF (black) and $S@H_2P$ -COF (red).



H₂P-COF



S@H₂P-COF-BATA

S@H₂P-COF



Figure S14. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images.



Figure S15. Elemental mapping of S@H₂P-COF-BATA.



Figure S16. Elemental mapping of S@H₂P-COF-BATA.

Table S2. EIS fitting to $R_s(CPE_1[R_1W])$ equivalent circuit and D_{Li+} for $S@H_2P-COF$ and $S@H_2P-COF-BATA$.

S@H ₂ P-COF											
	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}\right)$	C (F/cm)	n	$R_{\mathrm{CT}}\left(\Omega ight)$	W_{0} - $R(\Omega)$	Wo-T	Wo-n	$D_{Li^+}(cm/s)$			
Before cycling	2.30	5.82 ·10 ⁻⁵	0.73	15.71	$2.74 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2.13 \cdot 10^{12}$	0.51	1.58 .10-9			
After C rate mode	2.51	$1.24 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.66	50.85	$1.24 \cdot 10^{10}$	$1.64 \cdot 10^{14}$	0.60	$2.20 \cdot 10^{-10}$			
#100 cycle (continuous mode at 0.1 C)	4.30	1.45 .10-4	0.58	97.52	$1.60 \cdot 10^{14}$	$1.10 \cdot 10^{20}$	0.60	3.42 ·10 ⁻¹⁰			

S@H₂P-COF-BATA C (F/cm) Wo-T Wo-n $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}\left(\mathbf{\Omega}\right)$ Wo-R (Ω) D_{Li+} (cm/s) $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{CT}}\left(\Omega\right)$ n 4.42 .10-9 4.32 .10-5 $3.77 \cdot 10^{8}$ 8.53.1013 0.54 Before cycling 3.31 0.71 24.084.50 $3.22 \cdot 10^{-5}$ 0.74 $6.08{\cdot}\,10^8$ $2.53 \cdot 10^{13}$ 0.50 $1.14 \cdot 10^{-10}$ After C rate mode 43.52 #100 cycle 7,48107 $7.48 \cdot 10^{13}$ 0.57 $2.58 \cdot 10^{-9}$ 3.83 $1.88 \cdot 10^{-4}$ 0.56 69.68 (continuous mode at 0.1 C)

References

[1] K.-S. Lee, J.-S. Lee, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 4519–4525.

[2] J. Zhen, S. Ding, J. Liu, Z. Huang, W. Wang, Q. Zheng, *CrystEngComm* 2016, 18, 1039–1045.

[3] Y. Okada, M. Sugai, K. Chiba, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 10922–10929.

[4] J. P. Macquet, M. M. Millard, T. Theophanides, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4741-4746.

[5] K. Tatsumi, M, Tsutsui, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 882-884.

[6] C. S. Gopinath, R. P. Pandian, P. T. Manoharan, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans 1996, 0, 1255– 1259.

[7] NIST X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy Database, Version 4.1 (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, **2012**); <u>http://srdata.nist.gov/xps/</u>.

[8] J. J. Yeh, *Atomic Calculation of Photoionization Cross-Sections and Asymmetry Parameters*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Langhorne, PE (USA), **1993**.

[9] J. J. Yeh, I. Lindau, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 1985, 32, 1–155.