Quantum coherence in momentum space of light-matter condensates
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We show that the use of momentum-space optical interferometry, which avoids any spatial overlap between two parts of a macroscopic quantum state, presents a unique way to study coherence phenomena in polariton condensates. In this way, we address the longstanding question in quantum mechanics: “Do two components of a condensate, which have never seen each other, possess a definitive phase?” [P. W. Anderson, Basic Notions of Condensed Matter Physics (Benjamin Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1984)]. A positive answer to this question is experimentally obtained here for light-matter condensates, created under precise symmetry conditions, in semiconductor microcavities, taking advantage of the direct relation between the angle of emission and the in-plane momentum of polaritons.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cold atoms and exciton polaritons in semiconductor microcavities are systems where their capability to constitute Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) has been demonstrated in recent years [1,2]. These BECs, due to their dual wave-particle nature, share many properties with classical waves as, for instance, interference phenomena [3–6], which are crucial to gain insight into their undulatory character [7,8]. One of the main differences between atomic and polariton condensates resides in the particle lifetimes: The finite lifetime of polaritons, in contrast with the infinite one of atoms, can be regarded as a complication. But making virtue of necessity, a short lifetime also implies a significant advantage: Polaritons have a mixed exciton-photon character [9], their lifetime being determined by the escape of their photonic component outside the cavity. These photons are easily measured either in real space (near-field spectroscopy) or momentum space (far-field spectroscopy) [10], rendering full information about the polariton BEC’s wave function and, in particular, about its coherence [2]. Our goal is to profit from these measurements in momentum space to experimentally investigate something far from accessible in atomic condensates: the interference in momentum space produced by the correlation between two components of a condensate, which are, and have always been, spatially separated. Understanding coherence is important for a large number of disciplines spanning from classical optics to quantum information science and optical signal processing [11,12].

Pitaevskii and Stringari made a theoretical proposal to investigate experimentally these interference effects in momentum space via the measurement of their dynamic structure factor [13]. In related experiments, coherence between two spatially separated atomic BECs has been indirectly obtained using stimulated light scattering [14,15]. In this Rapid Communication we perform a direct measurement of this correlation in polariton BECs, which, moving in a symmetrical potential landscape, acquire a common relative phase, obtaining a positive answer to Anderson’s question [16–19], which opens additional perspectives in the field of multicomponent condensates.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We confront this task in a quasi-one-dimensional (1D) system made of a high-quality AlGaAs-based microcavity, where \(20 \times 300 \text{ \mu m}^2\) ridges have been sculpted. The sample, kept at 10 K, is excited with 2-ps-long light pulses from a Ti:Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) laser. In order to create polaritons in two separated spatial regions, the laser beam is split in two, named \(A\) and \(B\), impinging simultaneously at positions distanced by \(d_{AB} = 70 \text{ \mu m}\). Additional experimental details are described in the Supplemental Material [20]. A crucial issue when optically creating polaritons is the excess energy of the excitation laser. There are two well-explored alternatives: nonresonant excitation at very high energies [2] and strictly resonant excitation [21]. The latter situation generally produces macroscopic polariton states with a phase inherited from that of the laser, unless special care is taken in the experiments [22]. The former case is appropriate to avoid phase heritage, but it does not provide the momentum distribution, shown below, required for our experiments. In order to avoid these difficulties, we opt for a different alternative, depicted in Fig. 1(a): The laser beams excite the sample at the energy of bare excitons and \(k_n \sim 0\). The broad bands between 1.542 and 1.548 eV correspond to excitonic emission bands; the subbands below 1.542 eV are the confined lower polariton branches. After energy relaxation, polariton condensates are created in a process that involves a nonreversible dressing of the excitons and therefore an

*Rapid Communication
depicts the excitation laser at 1.545 eV and $k_x$ nonresonant, low power excitation conditions. The gray ellipse microcavity ridge in the direction depicted by the arrows. A explained in (b). The emission intensity is coded in a logarithmic, false color scale. (b) Sketch in real space of the experimental configuration. A laser beam is split into two arms, A and B, distanced by $d$. They create four propagating polariton WPs, coded in different colors, $n_{1,2}^A$ (magenta, blue) and $n_{1,2}^B$ (red, green), moving along the $x$ axis of a microcavity ridge in the direction depicted by the arrows.

erasure of the laser phase [20]. Above a given pump intensity threshold, polaritons with $k_x \approx 0$ evolve towards two states with momenta $\pm k_x$ [Fig. 1(a)]. As sketched in Fig. 1(b), this procedure results in the formation of four propagating polariton wave packets (WPs). We label the macroscopic state this procedure results in the formation of four propagating threshold, polaritons with $k_x$ erasure of the laser phase [20]. Above a given pump intensity

$$\Delta k_x = 2\pi/d.$$  

Our aim is to observe the existence of interferences in $k$ space coming from this macroscopic two-component condensate. Far-field detection allows the direct measurement of momentum distributions, i.e., it gives a direct determination of the existence, and the period, of these interference fringes. It must be also taken into account that the measured total polariton density is formed by a condensed population $n_{coh}^2$ coexisting with a thermal one [25], therefore the interference pattern visibility $\nu$ is lower than 1 (see Supplemental Material [20]).

Our most important result is shown in Fig. 2(b): We indeed observe the interference fringes in $k$ space, described by Eq. (4), directly in the polariton emission. This certifies the correctness of our hypothesis that each couple of WPs ($\psi_A^j$, $\psi_B^j$) constitutes a two-component condensate. Figure 2(a) shows the actual evolution in time of the four WPs schematically depicted in Fig. 1(a): Our results clearly demonstrate that the distance $d$ between the two components of each condensate remains constant with time during the first ~70 ps ($d = d_{AB}$), as evidenced by the dashed parallel arrows. Figure 2(a) also contains interesting real-space interferences when WPs $\psi_A^j$ and $\psi_B^j$ overlap in real space at 66 ps that we shall discuss in more detail below. A peculiarity of our experiments is that we observe the dynamics of the coherence; this allows us to determine that the two components of the condensate are phase locked since there is not any drift in the interference patterns.

As readily seen in Fig. 2(b), an initial acceleration of the four WPs, from rest, $k_x = 0$, to $k_x = \pm 1.6 \mu m^{-1}$ during the first 40 ps, is followed by a uniform motion taking place from 40 to 70 ps. The interference pattern of each condensate is observed until ~75 ps, the instant at which $\psi_A^j$ and $\psi_B^j$ disappear from the sample region imaged in the experiments. Then WPs $\psi_B^j$ and $\psi_A^j$ are progressively slowed by the presence of the barriers at the excitation spots ($V_A/V_B$ halts $\psi_A^j/\psi_B^j$). When these two WPs, which are the components of two different condensates $\Psi_1^{coh}$ and $\Psi_2^{coh}$, are stopped (at ~100 ps) another interference appears in $k$ space, but now at $k_x = 0$ as it corresponds to WPs at rest. This means that these two condensates also interfere with each other, being
remarkable that $\Psi_1^{coh}$ and $\Psi_2^{coh}$ still preserve some kind of mutual coherence, supporting the functional form of Eqs. (1) and (2). For longer times, the two WPs move again, as can be observed in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), becoming more difficult to track their trajectories.

Note that our measurements are performed averaging over millions of shots of the pulsed laser, therefore, if $\phi$ were a phase determined by the projection involved in the measurement process [17,18], it would take a random value in each realization. Then, averaging over all the possible results, the interference pattern would not be observed. However, as a consequence of the symmetry $V(x) = V(-x)$ of the potential, the whole state of the four WPs, $\Psi$, is symmetric, both in real and momentum space. The continuity in $k$ space of the wave function $[\Psi(k_x)]$ and of its derivative $[\partial \Psi(k_x)/\partial k_x]$ sets the relative phase $\phi$ and makes the experimental realizations contribute constructively to the observed interference patterns. In other words, the spatial symmetry involved in the buildup of the condensates determines the relative phase $\phi$. In this sense, they are not independent from each other although they have never before coincided in real space.

Further insight into the quantum coherence is obtained by analyzing in detail the interferences occurring in momentum space. The continuity in $k$ space of the wave function $[\Psi]$ and of its derivative $[\partial \Psi/\partial k]$ sets the relative phase $\phi$ and makes the experimental realizations contribute constructively to the observed interference patterns. In other words, the spatial symmetry involved in the buildup of the condensates determines the relative phase $\phi$. In this sense, they are not independent from each other although they have never before coincided in real space.

Coherence in real space has been profusely studied in cold atoms [3,5,27], excitons [28,29], and polariton condensates [2,30–34]. Our experiments also show interferences in real space between two condensates, similar to those reported in atomic BECs [3,5]. This is shown in Fig. 3(e) at 66 ps when WPs $\psi_2^A$ and $\psi_1^B$ meet each other at $x \sim 0$. The appearance of interference fringes in real space, $n_{12}$, signals unambiguously to coherence between these two WPs. Since real and momentum spaces are reciprocal to each other, equivalent results for the interference patterns are expected. The complementary expression in real space to Eq. (5) now reads $\Delta x = 2\pi/\kappa$, where $\Delta x$ is the period of the fringes and $\kappa$ is the difference in momentum of the propagating WPs. The experimental period of the fringes, seen in the dashed-rectangle area in Fig. 3(e), $\Delta x = 1.99(17)$ $\mu$m, should correspond to $\kappa = (k_x)_2 - (k_x)_1 = 3.2(2)$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$. This is again borne out by our results, as shown in Fig. 3(d), where the emission in $k$ space shows clearly that WPs $\psi_2^A$ and $\psi_1^B$ are counterpropagating with $k_x = \pm 1.6$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$, respectively. Figure 3(f) shows the Fourier transform of $n_{12}$ in the region enclosed by the rectangle in Fig. 3(e). It reveals a strong $\Delta K_x$ Fourier component at $3.1$ $\mu$m$^{-1}$, in full agreement with the value of $\kappa$ displayed in Fig. 3(d). Let us also emphasize that WPs first meet in real space at 66 ps, while interferences in momentum space are seen as early as $\sim 10$ ps, demonstrating that the phase locking occurs before the WPs spatially overlap.

The third result that we present corresponds to the arrival at 108 ps of $\psi_2^A$ and $\psi_1^B$ to the excitation regions $B$ and $A$. This is in good agreement with the experimental distance seen in Fig. 3(b): The two components of each condensate, $n_1^A$ and $n_2^B$, are separated by $d \simeq 70$ $\mu$m (see the dashed arrows). Our findings are further supported by the Fourier transform map of $n(k_x,k_y)$ shown in Fig. 3(c): A well-defined Fourier component at $\Delta X = d = 70$ $\mu$m is obtained, in accordance with the separation directly observed in real space.
respectively. Here, they run into the hills of the photogenerated potentials \( V_B \) and \( V_A \) that elastically convert their kinetic energy into potential energy [35]. They slow down, halting, providing a new separation between WPs \( n_2^B \) and \( n_1^A \), \( d_{12} \sim 60 \, \mu \text{m} \) [see Fig. 3(h)]. Their emission in momentum space, arising from \( k_x \sim 0 \), evidences an interference pattern with \( \Delta k_x = 0.108(5) \, \mu \text{m}^{-1} \) [\( n_{12} \); see Fig. 3(g)]. Once again, Eq. (5) predicts a separation \( d_{12} = 60(4) \, \mu \text{m} \) between \( n_2^A \) and \( n_2^B \), as observed in the experiments. For completeness, we also show in Fig. 3(i) the Fourier transform map of the density that exhibits an emerging component at \( \Delta X = d_{12} = 60 \, \mu \text{m} \). Further insight into this scaling behavior, relating distances in real space between WPs with the fringe period in momentum space, is presented in the Supplemental Material [20].

### III. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the convenience of monitoring the evolution of exciton polaritons in semiconductor microcavites, through the detection of emitted light, makes this system an ideal platform to study quantum coherence properties in real space as well as in momentum space. Profiting from this fact, we have demonstrated the existence of quantum remote coherence between spatially separated polariton condensates whose phase is determined by the symmetry of the excitation conditions and therefore is constant in each realization of our multishot experiments. This issue is related to the superposition principle in quantum mechanics and it is crucial to understand how mutual coherence is acquired.
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