Frictionless Flow in a Binary Polariton Superfluid
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We study the properties of a binary microcavity polariton superfluid coherently injected by two lasers at different momenta and energies. The crossover from the supersonic to the subsonic regime, where motion is frictionless, is described by evaluating the linear response of the system to a weak defect potential. We show that the coupling between the two components requires that either both components flow without friction or both scatter against the defect, though scattering can be small when the two fluids are weakly coupled. By analyzing the drag force exerted on a defect, we give a recipe to experimentally address the crossover from the supersonic to the subsonic regime.
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Coherent quantum fluids can undergo a transition to the superfluid phase, where the fluid viscosity is zero. When the system excitations are described in terms of quasiparticles, the Landau criterion [1] establishes the value of the fluid critical velocity below which no excitation can be created and the fluid exhibits superfluidity. In particular, for weakly interacting Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), the critical velocity equals the speed of sound. The description of the superfluid properties of coupled multicomponent condensates, where each component can have a different density and so a different speed of sound, and a different velocity, is far from trivial. Yet, exploring how the superfluid properties of one fluid are modified by the presence of a second one is of fundamental interest. Binary superfluids in cold atomic BECs have recently attracted noticeable interest. Here, the formation of solitary waves (see, e.g., Ref. [2]), the emission of Cherenkov-like radiation from a dragged defect [3], and the critical velocities [4] have been studied. Because of their versatility in control and detection, cavity polaritons—the strong coherent mixture of a quantum well exciton with a cavity photon—represent an ideal framework to address this problem. In particular, the injection of polaritons by two external laser fields allows us to independently tune the two fluid degrees of freedom such as energies, momenta (and therefore flow velocities), and particle densities, something not possible to implement in atomic condensates. At the same time, their finite lifetime makes polaritons prototypical systems for the study of condensation out of equilibrium.

Superfluidity in resonantly excited one component polariton fluids has been tested both theoretically [5,6] and experimentally [7] through the observation of a dramatic but not complete [8] reduction of the scattering against a defect. As far as multicomponent polariton fluids are concerned, superfluidity has been demonstrated in the optical parametric oscillator (OPO) regime through the frictionless propagation of wave packets [9] and the observation of quantized vortices and persistent currents [10,11]. However, a thorough analysis of the superfluid properties of multicomponent systems is still missing.

In this Letter we consider a two-component polariton system resonantly injected via two pumping lasers at different momenta and energies, and analyze its superfluid properties. Following a Landau criterion approach, we study the Bogoliubov excitation spectra in the linear approximation, showing the conditions under which the system can sustain frictionless flow, and analyzing how the superfluid properties of one component depend on the density and velocity of the other component. We perform the linear response analysis for defects with size smaller than the healing length. The case of bigger and stronger defects is more complex since nonlinear waves can be emitted and a linear analysis of the problem might not be sufficient [12]. Remarkably, we find that, within the validity of the Landau criterion, the possibility of the system to display frictionless flow in one component and simultaneously a flow with friction in the other is impeded by the coupling between the two components. Naturally, when coupling a supersonic (SP) fluid with a subsonic (SB) one, the amount of scattering induced by the SP component to the SB one depends on the coupling strength between the two fluids and their individual properties. Further, by making use of a full numerical analysis of the system mean-field nonlinear dynamics, we study the drag force exerted by both condensates on a defect, and give a recipe to experimentally address the SB to supersonic SP crossover.

We describe the dynamics of resonantly driven microcavity polaritons via a Gross-Pitaevskii equation for coupled cavity ($\psi_C$) and exciton ($\psi_X$) fields generalized to include decay and resonant pumping ($\hbar = 1$) [13]:

\[ \dot{\psi}_C + i\alpha C \psi_C + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} C \psi_C + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} C \psi_C + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} C \psi_C = 0 \]

where $\alpha$ is the coupling constant, and $C$ is the cavity field.
i\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_X \\ \psi_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F \end{pmatrix} + \left[ \hat{H}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} g_X |\psi_X|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & V_C \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_X \\ \psi_C \end{pmatrix},

\hat{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_X - i\kappa_X \\ \Omega_R/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \omega_C (-i\nabla) - i\kappa_C \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}

Here, two continuous wave pumping lasers, \( F = \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{r}) e^{i(k_1 - \omega_1) t} + \mathcal{F}_2(\mathbf{r}) e^{i(k_2 - \omega_2) t} \) resonantly inject polaritons at frequencies \( \omega_{1,2} \) and momenta \( \mathbf{k}_{1,2} \)—both lasers pump along the \( x \) axis, \( \mathbf{k}_{1,2} = (k_{1,2}, 0) \). We assume the exciton dispersion \( \omega_X \) to be constant and the cavity one quadratic, \( \omega_C (-i\nabla) = \omega_C^0 - \nabla^2 \), with \( m_C = 2 \times 10^{-5} m_0 \) and \( m_0 \) being the electron mass. \( \Omega_R \) is the Rabi frequency (\( \Omega_R = 4.4 \) meV) and \( \kappa_X \) and \( \kappa_C \) are the excitonic and photonic decay rates. The exciton-exciton interaction strength \( g_X \) is set to one by rescaling both \( \psi_{XC} \) and \( \mathcal{F}_{1,2} \). We set the energy zero to \( \omega_X = \omega_X^0 \) (zero detuning).

Finally, the potential \( V_C(\mathbf{r}) \) describes either a defect naturally present in the cavity mirrors or generated by an extra laser pump [14].

In the linear approximation regime, and for a homogeneously pumped \( \mathcal{F}_{1,2}(\mathbf{r}) = F_{1,2} \), we can limit our study to the following approximated solution of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation:

\[ \psi_{XC}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{j=1,2} \sum_{k_{j,C}} e^{i\omega_{j,C}} e^{i\mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}} \psi_{SS}^{j,k_{j,C}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \theta_{j,k_{j,C}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \tag{2} \]

where \( \psi_{SS}^{j,k_{j,C}} \) are the mean-field steady state solutions, and where \( \theta_{j,k_{j,C}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \) are small fluctuation fields describing the linear response of the system to a weak defect potential \( V_C(\mathbf{r}) \). Similarly to Refs. [5,6], by substituting (2) into (1), at the zeroth order \( \theta_{j,k_{j,C}} = 0 = V_C(\mathbf{r}) \) the mean-field solutions \( \psi_{SS}^{j,k_{j,C}} \) solve a system of four coupled complex equations, while the fluctuation fields as well as their (Bogoliubov-like) spectra can be obtained by expanding linearly in \( \theta_{j,k_{j,C}} \) and \( V_C(\mathbf{r}) \). For additional details, see the Supplemental Material [15] and Ref. [16].

The SP vs SB character of the excitations generated by the defect potential can be studied by analyzing the real part of the Bogoliubov spectra \( \omega_{LP,UP}^\pm(\mathbf{k}) \), where we have labeled the eigenvalues with LP (lower polariton), UP (upper polaritons), \( j = 1, 2 \), and particle (+) and hole (−) branches. According to the Landau criterion for superfluidity, a fluid moving against a defect is in a SB regime if it is unable to excite quasiparticle states (i.e., when elastic scattering is forbidden). This happens when the system’s excitation spectra is either gapped, i.e.,

\[ \Re[\omega_{LP}(\mathbf{k})] \neq 0 \quad \forall \ \mathbf{k}, \tag{3} \]

or it satisfies the condition \( \Re[\omega_{LP}(\mathbf{k}_0)] = 0 \) for one value of the momentum only, namely, that of the condensate’s momentum \( \mathbf{k}_0 \) (linear spectrum). Conversely, when for at least two values of \( \mathbf{k} \), \( \Re[\omega_{LP}(\mathbf{k})] = 0 \), the system is in the SP regime. Note that, unlike for superfluid systems in thermal equilibrium, for polaritons the above definition of the SB regime does not mean a complete suppression of the energy dissipation into the creation of quasiparticles [8,17]. In fact, because of the polaron finite lifetime, the spectra are broadened and a residual drag is always present.

In order to analyze the superfluid properties of the system, in Fig. 1 we compare the cases of coupled and uncoupled fluids. This can be regarded, both from a theoretical and experimental point of view, as the comparison between the case of two fluids pumped in different regions of the cavity (uncoupled) with the case of two fluids pumped in the same region (coupled). Clearly, the densities of two coupled fluids depend on both pump intensities, and thus, in order to correctly compare the coupled and uncoupled scenarios, such intensities must be adjusted so that the polariton densities of each fluid in the coupled case separately coincide with the ones of the uncoupled fluids. Typical behaviors of the system are illustrated in Fig. 1, where both 2D contour plots of the space profiles \( |\psi_{j,C}(\mathbf{r})|^2 \) and their associated excitation spectra \( \Re[\omega_{LP}(\mathbf{k})] \) are plotted. Let us consider first the case of the panels corresponding to columns I to IV: for uncoupled components (columns I and III), the spectrum of fluid 1 (red or thin lines) crosses the zero-energy line in four points \( k_v, k_v' \), \( k_u \), and \( k_u' \), satisfying \( k_v + k_v' = 2 k_1 \) and \( k_u + k_u' = 2 k_2 \). Two quasiparticles with momentum \( k_1 \) can be excited, and thus fluid 1 is in the SP regime. Now Cherenkov-like waves can be emitted from the \( \delta \)-like defect positioned in \( \mathbf{r} = 0 \) (see the \( |\psi_{1,C}(\mathbf{r})|^2 \) map of column I). In contrast, the spectrum of the fluid 2 (blue or thick lines) is gapped, no Cherenkov waves can be emitted from the defect, and therefore fluid 2 is in the SB regime. Then, instead, we analyze the case where the same two fluids are coupled (column II), we see that Cherenkov-like waves appear in the 2D profiles of both \( |\psi_{C}(\mathbf{r})|^2 \) and \( |\psi_{C}(\mathbf{r})|^2 \). This is because the interaction between the two fluids produces an anticrossing, and thus a mixing, of the corresponding Bogoliubov modes. As a consequence, the fluid injected in the component 2 can now scatter against the defect. An opposite case is shown in columns III and IV. The polariton density of fluid 2 is now doubled with respect to the case of columns I and II, keeping unchanged the fluid 1 density. Now, the effect of the coupling is to considerably decrease the scattering in component 1 and the coupled excitation spectra satisfies Eq. (3): in this case the effect of the coupling is that both components can flow without friction. From this analysis, we can conclude that a two-component polariton fluid can be in SB regime only if both components are SB. This is because, due to the coupling, the Bogoliubov spectra mix and only the scattering properties of the system as a whole can be defined. Since the combined state of the coupled system depends on the densities of both fluids, the system as a whole is SP or SB depending on which component dominates. In addition, we find that when a fluid has either too low density or a too high velocity to exhibit frictionless flow on its own, the
fluid can instead flow without friction when coupled to another fluid with the suitable properties. In order to identify the role played by the coupling strength between the two fluids in our predictions, we consider in columns V–VIII of Fig. 1 the case of two fluids with a higher photonic component, and therefore more weakly coupled,
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**FIG. 1** (color online). 2D contour plots of the space profiles $|\psi_{1,2,c}(r)|^2$ [arbitrary units] (gray maps) and associated excitation spectra $\text{Re}[\omega(k)]$ [meV]: the two laser pumps are shined at momenta $k_1 = 0.9$ and $k_2 = 0.4 \mu m^{-1}$ (columns I–IV) and at momenta $k_1 = 0.6$ and $k_2 = 0.1 \mu m^{-1}$ (columns V–VIII), while in all cases the laser energies are 0.5 meV blue detuned above the bare LP branch ($\kappa = \kappa_X = 0.1 \mu m^{-1}$). Columns I, III, V, and VII corresponds to the case where fluid 1 (red or thin) is uncoupled from fluid 2 (blue or thick), while columns II, IV, VI, and VIII describe the coupled cases. The densities of the two components have been fixed to $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.5$ meV and $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.2$ meV (columns I and II), to $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.5$ meV and $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 2.5$ meV (III and IV), to $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.0$ meV and $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.25$ meV (V and VI), and to $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.0$ meV and $g_X|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.5$ meV (VII and VIII). The momentum labels $k' = -0.4, k'' = 0.4, k' = 1.4$, and $k'' = 2.2 \mu m^{-1}$ are explicitly indicated in the spectrum of column I.

with respect to the case of columns I–IV. While the same qualitative conclusions hold, the scattering induced by fluid 1 over fluid 2 is now substantially smaller and comparable with the effect due to the polaron linewidth. Applying Eq. (3), one can study the SP and SB character of the binary fluid as a function of the two particle densities (see Supplemental Material [15]). It is, however, useful to perform this study also as a function of the two pump intensities, being these the experimentally accessible parameters. Panels I–III of Fig. 2 show the SB regions for three values of the fluid 2 velocity. Clearly, if any of the two pumps is switched off ($F_j = 0$), one reproduces the single-fluid case. As $k_2 < k_1$, the SB region for $F_1 = 0$ starts at lower pump intensities than the SB region for $F_2 = 0$ (panel I): for higher fluid velocities, the system requires higher polaron populations, and therefore higher pump intensities, in order to be in the SB regime. Even if the analytical dependence of the SB region on the two pump intensities cannot be evaluated, one can qualitatively understand its behavior: for fixed cavity and laser parameters, the SB regime depends on the total particle density seen by the two components $|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = |\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 + |\psi_{1,2,c}|^2$. For $F_2 = 0$ and $\sqrt{g_X}F_1 = 0.45$ meV$^{3/2}$ (point A of Fig. 2), the total particle density $|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2$, seen by the fluid is $|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = |\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.37$ meV$/g_X$ and the system is SB. If now the second pump is turned on and $\sqrt{g_X}F_2$ set to 0.3 meV$^{3/2}$ (point B), the total particle density decreases to $|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2 = 1.34$ meV$/g_X$ and the system is in the SP regime. This is because when $F_2$ is turned on the particle density increases by a factor $|\psi_{1,2,c}|^2$ but, at the same time, the fluid 1 particle density is decreased by a bigger factor. Since the system starts in a SB regime, the dressed LP branch is blue detuned with respect to the pump frequency $\omega$, and, therefore, the effect of $F_2 \neq 0$ is to further blue detune it, making it more difficult for pump 1 to fill the cavity.

Evaluating the linear spectrum of excitations in experiments can be a challenging task. In principle, the appearance and disappearance of Cherenkov waves could be used to determine the SP to SB crossover, similarly to Ref. [7].
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**FIG. 2** (color online). Phase diagram, as a function of the rescaled pump intensities $\sqrt{g_X}F_{1,2}$[meV$^{3/2}$], showing the regions where the system is SP (red) or SB (blue). In this case, the two lasers pump at an energy 0.5 meV blue detuned from the bare LP branch and $\kappa_C = \kappa_X = 0.1 \mu m^{-1}$. In panel I, the lasers momenta are $k_1 = 0.6$ and $k_2 = 0.1 \mu m^{-1}$. In panels II and III the $x$ component of the momentum of laser 2 is increased by 0.2 and 0.4 $\mu m^{-1}$, respectively. Points A and B correspond to cases discussed in the text.
order adaptive-step Runge-Kutta algorithm. The pumping component moves in an effectively denser medium than in the case with two currents, we find that the drag force decreases from high values to a residual finite value. For fluid 8: when the particle density increases, the drag force deviates from quadratic at large momenta. This together with the finite polariton lifetime has important consequences on the Bogoliubov spectrum, even in the case of one fluid only: while for atoms, Bogoliubov spectra are all linear at small wave vectors, for coherently pumped polaritons they can in addition be gapped or diffusive.

We evaluate the time average of the cavity field $\psi_C(r)$, numerically solving the dynamics of Eq. (1) on a 2D grid (256 \times 256 points) of $150 \times 150$ \mu m, by using a fifth-order adaptive-step Runge-Kutta algorithm. The pumping lasers have a smooth top-hat spatial profile $f_{1,2}(r)$ with a full width at half maximum of $\sigma = 130$ \mu m; the weak defect has a Gaussian shape. In Fig. 3 we plot the drag force that the binary fluid exerts on the defect as a function of the two fluid numbers of particles, comparing the coupled and uncoupled cases. The limit when one of the two pumps is turned off recovers the results for a single fluid [8]; when the particle density increases, the drag force decreases from high values to a residual finite value. For the case with two currents, we find that the drag force exerted by two coupled fluids on the defect is weaker than the drag force exerted by the two uncoupled components. This is because, in the coupled case, particles of each component move in an even more denser medium than in the uncoupled case [Eq. (3) of the Supplemental Material]; thus, the drag force is smaller. From the experimental point of view, in order to determine the drag force, one could measure the near-field cavity emission in a region around the defect as a function of position, and, if the shape of the defect is known, one could evaluate the drag force making use of Eq. (4). Note that the important quantity needed for this measure is the shape of the potential, not its precise height. Any uncertainty in the defect potential intensity will systematically affect the drag force overall scale but not its global dependence on the polariton densities. Finally, we would like to stress that higher fluid velocities and shorter polariton lifetimes give rise to higher values (therefore more easily measurable) of the drag force and of its residual value at high polariton density.

To conclude, we would like to note that we can draw this Letter’s conclusions independently on the polariton lifetime, as they exclusively depend on the real part of the Bogoliubov spectra and therefore hold in equilibrium conditions, e.g., for the case of atomic superfluids. However, even for extremely long polariton lifetimes, binary polariton superfluids are more general than atomic ones. This is because, while for the latter case the chemical potential fixes the atom density, for the former, the laser frequency can be tuned independently on its power which determines the polariton density. Further, the polariton dispersion deviates from quadratic at large momenta. This together with the finite polariton lifetime has important consequences on the Bogoliubov spectrum, even in the case of one fluid only: while for atoms, Bogoliubov spectra are all linear at small wave vectors, for coherently pumped polaritons they can in addition be gapped or diffusive.
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