Plasmons and near-field amplification in double-layer graphene
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We study the optical properties of double-layer graphene for linearly polarized evanescent modes and discuss the in-phase and out-of-phase plasmon modes for both, longitudinal and transverse polarization, and for inhomogeneous dielectric media. We find an energy for which reflection is zero, leading to exponentially amplified transmitted modes similar to what happens in left-handed materials. For layers with equal densities \( n = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), we find a typical layer separation of \( d \approx 500 \mu\text{m} \) to detect this amplification for transverse polarization, which may serve as an indirect observation of transverse plasmons. When the two graphene layers lie on different chemical potentials, the exponential amplification either follows the in-phase or the out-of-phase plasmon mode depending on the order of the low- and high-density layers. This opens up the possibility of a tunable near-field amplifier or switch.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The optical properties of graphene recently have attracted much attention. A hallmark is given by the universal absorption of 2.3% in the visible regime independent of the incident wavelength. For infrared frequencies, the absorption can be altered by varying the Fermi energy via an applied gate voltage. For fixed chemical potential, the optical conductivity then is characterized by three regimes: (i) the Drude peak related to intraband transitions, (ii) a region with suppressed absorption due to Pauli blocking, and (iii) the nearly constant plateau with \( \sigma_0 = \frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} \) due to interband transitions.

There is also a huge potential to use graphene in information and communication technologies. Apart from applications as the conductive and optically transparent electrodes in resistive and communication technologies. Apart from applications as conductive and optically transparent electrodes in resistive touch screens of transparent and flexible displays, there are various examples for using graphene in optoelectronic devices, such as a photodetector, a broadband absorber, or a mode-locked laser due to current saturation. There are also interesting theoretical proposals not yet observed, such as the dynamical generation of a gap or carrier multiplication due to strong electron-electron interaction.

Here, we analyze the optical properties of double-layer graphene, which is of potential interest due to the recent advances in fabricating graphene-boron-nitride structures. Our main result is that there is exponential amplification in between two layers is related closely to the existence of surface-plasmon polaritons as noted by Pendry and as later discussed by Haldane. This effect can be considered to be the evanescent equivalent of the extraordinary transmission observed in perforated metal sheets where plasmons are believed to be involved.

In graphene, there are two types of (surface) plasmons, longitudinal (or transverse magnetic) and transverse (or transverse electric) modes, which give rise to different behaviors. Theoretically, these low-energy collective excitations have attracted much interest, and recently, it was shown that they can be excited via an attenuated total reflection structure, paving the way for graphene plasmonics.

Experimentally, they have been observed via electron-energy-loss spectroscopy and near-field nanoscopy. Here, we discuss how the plasmon modes are modified in a double-layer structure for both polarizations. In the case of longitudinal polarization, the two plasmon modes split, if the layer separation is small enough such that the plasmon modes can still considerably interact electrostatically. This leads to an ordinary two-dimensional plasmon with \( \sqrt{q} \) dispersion but larger energy where the charges of the two layers oscillate in phase and a linear (acoustic) plasmon mode where the charges oscillate out of phase. Their dependence on the Fermi energy of the two layers was discussed in Ref. 39 for \( T = 0 \), for finite temperature in Ref. 40, and the plasmon interaction and hybridization in pairs of neighboring nanoribbons in Ref. 41. Here, we also discuss the scattering problem. In the case of transverse polarization, plasmons are not formed via charge accumulation and only are bound weakly to the graphene layer. These collective excitations are linked to the two-band structure of the electronic carriers and, thus, exist in bilayer graphene where they become more localized.

In a double-layer structure, we find either one mode (for small-layer separation) or two plasmon modes (for large-layer separation).

As noted before, in the case of two plasmon modes, there exists a frequency \( \omega_{\text{exp}} \) where the reflection is zero and the transmission is amplified exponentially. For equal electronic densities in the two layers, this frequency lies between the two plasmon frequencies and is pinned to the out-of-phase mode for small-layer separation. For large-layer separation, the two plasmon modes merge and symmetrically sandwich the frequency of the exponential amplification just as in the original model proposed by Pendry. For different electronic densities, \( \omega_{\text{exp}} \) can follow either the low- or the high-density plasmon mode depending on the order of the layers.

We also discuss the amplification \( A \) proportional to the ratio of the intensity at the second interface to one at the first interface. The maximum of \( A \) lies between the two plasmon frequencies but only coincides with \( \omega_{\text{exp}} \) in the case of large-layer separation. This tunable plasmonic evanescent amplification could be useful for near-field microscopies.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the scattering problem and discuss the general behavior. In...
Sec. III, we discuss the case of equal electronic density in the two layers, and in Sec. IV, we discuss the density-imbalanced system. We close with a summary and conclusions. In the Appendix, the explicit formulas for the general setup with different dielectric media are given. To model graphene, we only depend on the current-current correlation function at zero temperature, which was evaluated in Ref. 43 within the Dirac cone approximation and in Ref. 44 for the hexagonal lattice.

II. SCATTERING PROBLEM

To discuss the scattering behavior of linearly polarized light in a layered geometry, a convenient gauge condition is to choose the scalar potential to be zero. We, thus, only have to consider the vector potential.

In the following, we assume the graphene layers to be parallel to the plane and transverse amplitude: polarization. This results in the following expressions for the reflected mode is given by

\[ T = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2(1 - e^{-2q'd})}, \]

\[ R = -\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{-2q'd} + \alpha_1\alpha_2(1 - e^{-2q'd})}{1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2(1 - e^{-2q'd})}, \]

where \( \alpha_i = \chi_i^\parallel/(2\varepsilon_0 e^2 q') \) for transverse and \( \alpha_i = -\chi_i^\perp/q'(2\varepsilon_0 e^2) \) for longitudinal polarizations.

At the energy \( \hbar\omega_{\text{exp}} \), with

\[ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{-2q'd} + \alpha_1\alpha_2(1 - e^{-2q'd}) = 0, \]

there is no reflection \( R = 0 \), the evanescent equivalent to perfect transmission. At this energy, we have exponential amplification of the transmission amplitude,

\[ T_{\text{exp}} = -\frac{\alpha_1 e^{-q'd}}{\alpha_2} = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} e^{-q'd}, \]

where we introduced the (scalar) conductivities \( \sigma_i \) of the two layers well defined for Fermi energies less than 1 eV. We also discuss the amplification related to the ratio of the two intensities at the two interfaces; see Eq. (14). The maximum then is centered around \( R = -1 \) where the expression diverges. This corresponds to the condition,

\[ 1 + \alpha_2(1 - e^{-2q'd}) = 0. \]

Let us now introduce the dielectric matrix \( \tilde{\epsilon}(q,\omega) \), which, for a homogeneous medium, is given by

\[ \tilde{\epsilon}(q,\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \alpha_1 & \alpha_1 e^{-q'd} \\ \alpha_2 e^{-q'd} & 1 + \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Genuine plasmons correspond to the zeros of the determinant \( \det \tilde{\epsilon} \),

\[ \epsilon(q,\omega) = (1 + \alpha_1)(1 + \alpha_2) - \alpha_1\alpha_2 e^{-2q'd}. \]

This quantity was discussed in Ref. 39. For two undamped plasmon modes \( \text{Im} \alpha_i = 0 \), the slopes around the two zeros are opposite, and the corresponding \( \delta \) functions obtained by \( \epsilon^{-1}(q,\omega + i\delta) \), thus, have weights of different signs. In fact, also in the dissipative region, the two modes can be related to opposite signs of the so-called loss function \( \text{Im} \epsilon^{-1}(q,\omega + i\delta) \) contrary to the results presented in Ref. 39.

The sign change in \( \epsilon(q,\omega) \) does not violate causality since it is only the determinant of a \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrix response function. Apart from its zeros, it does not have any further physical interpretation. In this paper, we, thus, prefer to discuss the eigenvalues of the \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrix current-current response function defined as \( j = -e^2 \tilde{\chi} A^{\text{ext}} \) where \( j = (j_1, j_2)^T \) denotes the current in layers one and two. We obtain the following expression:

\[ \tilde{\chi}(q,\omega) = \frac{-1}{d\epsilon(q,\omega)} \left( \frac{\alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2)}{\alpha_2\alpha_2 e^{-q'd}} \right) + \left( \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2 e^{-q'd}}{\alpha_2(1 + \alpha_1)} \right), \]

where \( d = q'e^2/(2\varepsilon_0 e^2q') \) for longitudinal polarization and \( d = -e^2/(2\varepsilon_0 e^2q') \) for transverse polarization. In the
following, we discuss the sum of the two eigenvalues or, equivalently, the trace of \( \tilde{\chi}(q, \omega) \):

\[
\chi(q, \omega) = \frac{-1}{d \epsilon(q, \omega)} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2).
\] (12)

We call \( -\text{Im} \chi(q, \omega + i0) \) the energy-loss function as it provides the total plasmonic spectral weight.

### III. LAYERS WITH EQUAL DENSITIES

Let us discuss some basic properties of the double-layer system in a homogeneous medium for the case of equal electronic densities, i.e., \( \alpha = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \). This situation is realized easily by applying a potential difference between the two layers, which results in an equal density of electrons and holes in the two layers, respectively. Since the carrier type is irrelevant for the optical properties as long as particle-hole symmetry is retained, this experimental setup is equivalent to the one with equal polar doping.

The plasmonic excitations are given by the zeros of \( \epsilon(q, \omega) \); see Eq. (10). For \( \text{Im} \alpha = 0 \), this yields the following conditions satisfied by the plasmon frequency \( \omega_p(q) \):

\[
1 + \alpha(1 \pm e^{-\alpha d}) = 0,
\] (13)

where the plus (minus) sign refers to the in-phase (out-of-phase) plasmon mode. We will use these conditions to find resonances also in the case of so-called Landau damping (\( \text{Im} \alpha \neq 0 \) due to particle-hole excitations), thus, formally setting \( \text{Im} \alpha = 0 \) also in the region of interband transitions (\( \omega/v_F > 2k_F - q \)). For large-layer separation \( d \) and/or large-wave number \( q \), the two plasmon modes, thus, converge to the plasmon condition for a single layer. This is expected from physical grounds since, for \( qd \gg 1 \), the two layers are decoupled and each layer has the same plasmonic properties. In Refs. 39 and 40, the imaginary part of \( \chi(q, \omega) \) provides the total plasmonic spectral weight. Also, the energies corresponding to \( \omega = \omega_p(q) \) due to interband transitions. This is indicated by one of the dotted lines in the two figures. In Fig. 1, the \textit{limiting} dispersion for the acoustic mode \( \omega = v_F q \) also is shown. Even though the approximate analytical formula of Ref. 39 for longitudinal polarization states that the slope is proportional to the Fermi wave vector, which could be chosen to be arbitrarily small, the square-root singularity of the susceptibility at \( \omega = v_F q \) forces the acoustic plasmon velocity to remain greater than \( v_F \).
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) The in-phase (upper blue curve) and out-of-phase (lower blue curve) longitudinal plasmon mode for two different layer separations \( d = 2, 10 \) nm are shown together with the single-layer plasmon mode obtained for \( d \to \infty \) (dashed-dotted curve). Also shown are the energies corresponding to \( R = 0 \) (dashed magenta curve) and \( R = -1 \) (dashed red curve). Density of the two graphene layers is \( n_1 = n_2 = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) with the same dielectric medium for the three regimes \( \epsilon = 1 \) (vacuum), and we set \( \text{Im} \alpha = 0 \).

For \( qd \gg 1 \), Eq. (6) coincides with the plasmon condition for uncoupled layers (\( d \to \infty \)), i.e., \( 1 + \alpha = 0 \). For \( qd \ll 1 \), for the out-of-phase mode, we have the simplified condition \( 1 + \alpha qd = 0 \). In this limit, this coincides with the condition for zero reflectivity given in Eq. (6). The branch of \( \omega_{\text{exp}} \) thus, shifts from \( \omega_p^- \) to \( \omega_p^+ \) as the layer separation \( d \) is increased. For \( d \to \infty \), \( \omega_{\text{exp}} \) is located in between the two plasmonic resonances \( \omega_p^\pm \) just as in Pendry’s original model with a left-handed lens. The reason why this is not the case for small-layer separation is just because the two oscillators have different oscillator strengths, which shifts the resonance condition.

In Fig. 1 (longitudinal polarization) and Fig. 2 (transverse polarization), the in-phase (upper blue curve) and out-of-phase (lower blue curve) plasmon mode for two different layer separations \( d \) are shown together with the single-layer plasmon mode obtained for \( d \to \infty \) (dashed-dotted curve). Also shown are the energies corresponding to \( R = 0 \) (dashed magenta curve) and \( R = -1 \) (dashed red curve). Density of the two graphene layers is \( n_1 = n_2 = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) with the same dielectric medium for the three regimes \( \epsilon = 1 \) (vacuum), and we set \( \text{Im} \alpha = 0 \).
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**FIG. 2.** (Color online) The in-phase (upper blue curve) and out-of-phase (lower blue curve) transverse plasmon mode for two different layer separations \( d = 50, 100 \) \( \mu \text{m} \) are shown together with the single-layer plasmon mode obtained for \( d \to \infty \) (dashed-dotted curve). Also shown are the energies corresponding to \( R = 0 \) (dashed magenta curve) and \( R = -1 \) (dashed red curve). Density of the two graphene layers is \( n_1 = n_2 = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) with the same dielectric medium for the three regimes \( \epsilon = 1 \) (vacuum), and we set \( \text{Im} \alpha = 0 \).
The density of the two graphene layers is $n_1 = n_2 = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with the same dielectric medium for the three regimes $\epsilon = 1$ (vacuum).

For transverse polarization, usually the long-wavelength approximation of the susceptibility is used assuming an infinite speed of light. This yields a logarithmic divergence for $\omega/v_F k_F = 2.$ Including the full $q$ dependence, we see two finite maxima at $\omega/v_F k_F = 2/(1 \pm v_F/c)$ when the light cone crosses the regions defined by the straight lines $\omega/v_F = 2k_F \pm q$.

A. Damping and amplification of evanescent modes

To discuss the damping of the plasmonic mode, we plot the energy-loss function, $-\text{Im} \chi (q, \omega + i 0)$ in units of $\hbar = v_F = 1$ for longitudinal polarization. (Right-hand side) The amplification $A$ of longitudinal polarization together with the plasmonic dispersion of the in-phase and out-of-phase plasmon modes (solid blue curves). Also, the energies corresponding to $R = 0$ (dashed magenta curve) and $R = -1$ (dashed red curve) are shown. The density of the two graphene layers is $n_1 = n_2 = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with the same dielectric medium for the three regimes $\epsilon = 1$ (vacuum).

B. Detecting transverse plasmons

For transverse polarization, the plasmon modes are close to the light cone. We, therefore, introduced the adimensional variable $Q = cq/(v_F k_F)$ and showed the difference $Q = \omega/v_F k_F$ in Figs. 2 and 4. The fact that they are almost pinned to the light cone makes them difficult to observe. Recently, it was proposed to use strained graphene to detect them since strain slightly changes the dispersion relation. An alternative detection method is based on fluorescence quenching of graphene, which is determined entirely by transverse plasmons in an appropriate energy window. Here, we also want to stress that the double-layer geometry could be used to indirectly detect these collective excitations that are linked to the two-band structure of the carriers of graphene.

The main idea is that exponential amplification is linked to the two plasmon modes. By increasing the interlayer distance $d$, the amplification can become arbitrarily large; see Eq. (7). But for large separation, the interaction between the two layers decreases, and dissipative effects eventually render the effect.

Let us conclude with some numbers. For $n = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, the layer separation should be larger than $d \gtrsim 50 \mu\text{m}$, the distance where the second (out-of-phase) mode appears. For larger separation $d \gtrsim 1 \text{ nm}$, the two plasmon modes almost coincide, and the exponential amplification $\omega_{\text{exp}}$ lies almost on top of these modes. Thus, we would expect an optimal separation to lie around the two limiting separations, i.e., $d \approx 100 \sim 500 \mu\text{m}$, suitable to observe strong amplification.

IV. LAYERS WITH DIFFERENT DENSITIES

Let us now assume a density difference between the two layers. For longitudinal plasmons, we investigate the case where one layer has a typical density of $n_1 = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, and the other layer has a (slightly) lower doping level with $n_2 = 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. We note that, even at the neutrality point, there are (damped) plasmons at finite temperatures, which can...
be treated with the analytical formulas given above for finite $k_F \rightarrow \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \ln 2$ [40]. At room temperature, this corresponds approximately to $n = 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$, but in this case, strong damping terms also are present.

Due to the lesser lateral confinement of the plasmon on the layer with lower density, larger-layer separations are now possible until convergence of the two plasmon modes sets in. By convergence, we now mean that the two plasmon modes are defined by the free conditions $1 + \alpha_1 = 0$ and $1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ in their respective energy windows without interband transitions. In Fig. 5, we show the energy-loss function for two different layer separations $d = 2$ and $d = 50$ nm. Thus, there are two regimes: in the first regime with $d \lesssim 20$ nm, there are acoustic and plasmonic-like modes. Both modes are only undamped in the region where no interband transitions are present. When interband transitions due to the lower-density layer set in, both modes are damped, but the plasmonic one reaches up to the region where interband transitions due to the higher density set in. For the second regime with $d \gtrsim 20$ nm, there is an almost free plasmon with $1 + \alpha_1 \approx 0$ for $q > 2k_F^2 - \omega/v_F$ limited only by interband transitions related to the higher density. Also, the free plasmon $1 + \alpha_1 = 0$ is shown as a dashed black line, set as a guide for the eyes. On the other hand, the acoustic mode has become plasmonic with $1 + \alpha_2 \approx 0$, limited by interband transitions related to the lower density.

The condition for exponential amplification depends on whether the electromagnetic wave first passes the high-density layer or the low-density layer; see Eq. (6). In Fig. 6, the amplification $A$ is shown for the first case, choosing the layer densities as $n_1 = 10^{12}$ and $n_2 = 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The amplification $A$ follows the out-of-phase mode and terminates at the region where interband transitions related with the lower density set in.

Also shown is the condition for exponential amplification (magenta curve for $\Im \alpha_i = 0$), which yields a low- and a high-energy solution. The low-energy solution follows the low-energy plasmon mode for both regimes (left and right panels). The high-energy solution starts at $\omega/(v_F k_F^2) \approx 1.667$ and then disperses toward higher energy roughly following the plasmonic in-phase mode.

In Fig. 7, the the amplification $A$ together with the plasmon modes (setting $\Im \alpha_i = 0$) is shown for the opposite-layer densities, thus, choosing $n_1 = 10^{11}$ and $n_2 = 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}$. For (very) small-layer separation $d \ll 20$ nm, the maximum of $A$ is centralized in the vicinity of the out-of-phase (not shown) then crosses the region between the two plasmonic modes (left-hand side) until it reaches the in-phase mode for large-layer separation $d \gtrsim 20$ nm (right-hand side).

The amplification now only terminates at the region defined by interband transitions related with the higher density. This can be understood from Eq. (8), which only contains the physical properties of the second (higher-energy) layer. This condition is shown for $\Im \alpha_i = 0$ as a dashed red curve on the left-hand side of Fig. 7 and is slightly shifted toward higher energies than the maximum of $A$ due to the neglect of damping terms. Again, we stress that $A$ has a pronounced resonance close to the energy corresponding to $R = -1$ and only appears smeared out due to the chosen graphene cutoff.

The condition for exponential amplification (magenta curve for $\Im \alpha_i = 0$) now yields different solutions depending on the layer separation. For $d \lesssim 20$ nm, we again find a low- and a high-energy solution. For the second regime $d \gtrsim 20$ nm, the two solutions for exponential amplification split. There is a branch that only exists at small wave vectors and within an energy window reaching from $\omega/(v_F k_F^2) \approx 1.667$ to $\omega/(v_F k_F^2) \approx 8.087$.
\(\omega/(v_F k_F^2) \approx 2\). The second branch starts at \(\omega/(v_F k_F^2) \approx 2\) for \(q = 0\) and then follows the in-phase mode when it crosses the region of interband transitions coming from the lower-density layer. The third branch first follows the in-phase mode for small \(q\) wave vectors and then disperses toward smaller energies at the (avoided) crossing point with the second branch. We note that this crossing happens at the energy \(\omega/(v_F k_F^2) \approx 1.667\). The repeated occurrence of the value \(\omega/(v_F k_F^2) \approx 1.667\) is due to the fact that, at this energy, the response of the \(i\)th graphene sheet becomes zero, which obviously affects the response of the other graphene sheet.

Let us close with a comment on transverse plasmons. For this polarization, there generally is only a small window in which undamped plasmons can exist, i.e., \(1.667 < \Omega < 2\) with \(\Omega = \omega/(v_F k_F)\). Since, for exponential amplification, the two plasmons of the two layers have to exist at the same frequency, this limits the density difference to \(k_F^2/k_F^2 \leq 1.2\). But from Fig. 2, we see that the plasmon with lower energy exists in an even smaller energy window, and we obtain solutions for typical densities \(n = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) only for \(k_F^2/k_F^2 \leq 1\). Nevertheless, there is a rich phenomenology also in the case of a larger-density difference. We leave this analysis for when detailed experimental setups are available.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have discussed the optical properties of double-layer graphene for linearly polarized evanescent modes and focused on the plasmon dispersion and (exponential) amplification of evanescent modes. We considered longitudinal as well as transverse polarizations and especially the detection of exponential amplification for transverse-polarized modes might, at last, yield evidence of transverse plasmons, uniquely related to the chirality of the electronic carriers of graphene and not found in other materials.

For systems with different densities for the two layers, we observe that the transmission crucially depends on the order of the two layers. If the first layer is the high-density layer, the amplification of the evanescent modes follows the dispersion of the low-energy solution, whereas, for the other case, the high-energy solution is relevant. By varying the relative density of the two layers, one can switch from amplifications based on the out-of-phase mode to ones based on the in-phase mode. This might give rise to interesting applications where the modulation of an external gate can be used for an optical switcher or, more generally, for a tunable near-field amplifier.
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APPENDIX: GENERAL FORMULAS FOR THREE DIFFERENT DIELECTRICA

Here, we present the general formulas of the reflectivity and transmissivity for a structure with three different dielectrica and graphene in their interfaces, i.e., there are three dielectric media separated by graphene located at \(z = 0\) and \(z = d\). The graphene layers are parallel to the \(xy\) plane. A schematic of the setup is shown in Fig. 8. We discuss the scattering problem only for the vector potential. We, thus, work with a gauge in which the scalar potential is set to zero.

For transverse polarized light with, say, \(q = q \hat{e}_x\), only the \(y\) component \(A_y\) is non-zero. Since we are interested in the time evolution of evanescent modes, we define the real transverse-wave number \(q_{\perp} = \sqrt{q^2 - (\omega/c)^2}\), where \(q\) denotes the conserved wave number parallel to the plane and \(\epsilon_i\) denotes the dielectric constant of the corresponding medium.

1. Transverse polarization

The vector potential for a transverse-polarized field with \(q = q \hat{e}_x\) is given by

\[
A_y(r, z) = \sum_{q_i} e^{iq_i \cdot r} \begin{cases} 
q_i \hat{e}_i + Re^{q_i z}, & z < 0, \\
q_i \hat{e}_i - Re^{q_i z}, & 0 < z < d, \\
Te^{-q_i z}, & z > d.
\end{cases}
\]

The vector field is continuous at the interface. From \(\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}\), it follows that the first derivative of \(A_y\) is discontinuous at the interface due to the current of the form \(\mathbf{j} = j \hat{e}_x \delta(z - z_i)\) with \(z_i = d\). Since the current is generated by the vector field, i.e., \(j = -\chi_i \hat{e}_x A_y(z_i)\) where \(\chi_i\) is the current-current response function of the graphene layer at \(z = z_i\), the set of equations closes, and we obtain the following expressions:

\[
a = 2q_i(q_i^2 + q_i^2 + 2\alpha_2)N, \\
b = 2e^{-2q_i d}q_i(q_i^2 - q_i^2 - 2\alpha_2)N, \\
N = (q_i^2 + q_i^2 + 2\alpha_1)(q_i^2 + q_i^2 + 2\alpha_2) \\
- (q_i^2 - q_i^2 + 2\alpha_1)(q_i^2 - q_i^2 + 2\alpha_2)e^{-2q_i d},
\]

where \(\alpha_i = \chi_i/(2\epsilon_i c^2)\). This leads to

\[
T_{\perp} = 4q_i q_i e^{-(q_i^2 - q_i^2)d}/N, \quad R_{\perp} = a + b - 1.
\]

At the energy \(\omega_{\text{exp}}\), with

\[
(q_i^2 - q_i^2 + 2\alpha_1)(q_i^2 + q_i^2 + 2\alpha_2) \\
= (q_i^2 + q_i^2 - 2\alpha_1)(q_i^2 - q_i^2 - 2\alpha_2)e^{-2q_i d}.
\]
there is no reflection $R = 0$. At this energy, we have exponential amplification of the transmission amplitude,

$$T_{\exp} = q_2' - q_3' + 2\alpha_1 \exp(q_2' + q_3')d. \quad (A7)$$

The amplification $A$ diverges for

$$q_2' + q_3' + 2\alpha_2 = -(q_2' - q_3' - 2\alpha_2)e^{-2q_3'd}. \quad (A8)$$

2. **Longitudinal polarization**

For longitudinal polarization, the general vector field also has a component in the normal direction to the interfaces,

$$A(r, z) = \sum_q e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} [A_{\parallel}(q) e_\mathbf{q} + A_{\perp}(q) e_z]. \quad (A9)$$

where with $q_i' = \sqrt{q_i^2 - \epsilon_i(\omega/c)^2}$, we make the ansatz ($i = \parallel, \perp$),

$$A_i(q, z) = \begin{cases} 
e^{-q_i'z} + R_i e^{-q_i'z}, & z < 0, \\ a_i e^{-q_i'z} + b_i e^{q_i'z}, & 0 < z < d, \\ t_i e^{-q_i'z}, & z > d. \end{cases} \quad (A10)$$

The components $A_{\perp}$ are obtained from $A_{\parallel}$ via the condition for a transverse field $\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$. In the following, we drop the index $i$ and evaluate the coefficients for $i = \parallel$.

The vector field is continuous at the interface, but the normal component of the displacement field makes a jump due to the presence of graphene and the corresponding charge density in the layer. This component is related to the vector field via the relation $D_z = \epsilon_0 e^{i\omega t} A_z$. With the continuity equation $\omega \rho = -q - j = 0$ and the linear response $j = -\chi_{\parallel} A_\parallel$, the set of equations closes. Assuming the same notation as in the case of transverse radiation, we obtain the following expressions:

$$a = 2q_2'\epsilon_1(q_2'\epsilon_2 + q_3'\epsilon_3 + 2\alpha_2), \quad N = (q_2'\epsilon_1 + q_3'\epsilon_2 + 2\alpha_1)(q_2'\epsilon_3 + q_3'\epsilon_2 + 2\alpha_2) - (q_2'\epsilon_1 - q_3'\epsilon_2 + 2\alpha_1)(q_2'\epsilon_3 - q_3'\epsilon_2 + 2\alpha_2)e^{-2q_3'd}, \quad (A13)$$

where $\alpha_1 = -\chi_{\parallel} q_2'q_3'/(2\epsilon_0\omega^2)$ and $\alpha_2 = -\chi_{\parallel} q_2'q_3'/(2\epsilon_0\omega^2)$. This leads to

$$T_{\parallel} = 4q_2'q_3'\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\exp(-q_3'd)/N, \quad R_{\parallel} = a + b - 1. \quad (A14)$$

At the energy $h\omega = \epsilon_0\omega$, with

$$(q_2'\epsilon_2 - q_3'\epsilon_1 + 2\alpha_1)(q_2'\epsilon_3 + q_3'\epsilon_2 + 2\alpha_2) = (q_2'\epsilon_1 + q_3'\epsilon_2 - 2\alpha_1)(q_2'\epsilon_3 - q_3'\epsilon_2 + 2\alpha_2)e^{-2q_3'd}, \quad (A15)$$

there is no reflection $R = 0$. At this energy, we have exponential amplification of the transmission amplitude,

$$T_{\exp} = q_2'\epsilon_2 - q_3'\epsilon_1 + 2\alpha_1 \exp(q_2' + q_3')d. \quad (A16)$$

The amplification $A$ diverges for

$$(q_2'\epsilon_2 + q_3'\epsilon_3 + 2\alpha_2) = -(q_2'\epsilon_2 - q_3'\epsilon_3 - 2\alpha_2)e^{-2q_3'd}. \quad (A17)$$