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1. INTRODUCTION

Unimodular gravity (cf. [1] and references therein) is undoubtedly a quite interesting theory. It has been recently studied in its second order Weyl invariant [2,3] formulation. The aim of the present paper is to consider unimodular gravity (UG) in first order formulation. The first order Palatini action principle [4], which is classically equivalent to the corresponding one for general relativity, contains two independent fields, namely, the frame field defined by the tetrad $e^a_\mu(x)$ and the spin connection $\omega^a_\mu(x)$ and reads

$$ S = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x e^b_\nu e^a_\mu R_{\mu b}^{ab}[\omega], $$

where

$$ e \equiv |\det e^a_\mu|, $$

$$ R_{\mu b}^{ab}[\omega] = \partial_\mu \omega^{ab}_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega^{ab}_\mu + \omega^c_\mu \omega^{ab}_\nu - \omega^a_\mu \omega^{cb}_\nu. $$

In terms of differential forms

$$ R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a_d \wedge \omega^b_c, $$

and the action itself can be written [5] as

$$ S = -\frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int e_{abcd} e^a_\mu \wedge e^b \wedge R^{cd} $$

$$ = -\frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int * (e^a_\mu \wedge e^b) \wedge R^{cd}. $$

In this paper, we are going to consider only pure gravity with an eye on extensions to unimodular supergravity [6], which is presumably easier to study in its first order form. When $e \neq 0$ then the equation of motion (EM) for the spin connection forces the torsion

$$ T^a \equiv de^a + \omega^a_\mu \wedge e^b $$

to vanish, and this forces the said connection to be identified with the Ricci rotation coefficients. The equation of motion for the frame field then gives the Ricci flatness condition. It is possible to get solutions even when $e = 0$ [7], which are quite interesting although not for the purposes of the present paper. Incidentally, this shows that the first order action principle is slightly more general than the second order one.

In order to get a first order action in the unimodular case, we simply write

$$ S = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x e^b_\nu e^a_\mu R_{\mu b}^{ab}[\omega], $$

where the frame field $\hat{e}^a_\mu$ is assumed to have unit determinant as an ordinary matrix. The action can be written in terms of an arbitrary frame field

$$ e^a_\mu(x) \equiv e^{-1/4} \hat{e}^a_\mu $$

as

$$ S = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x e^{1/2} e^a_\mu e^b \hat{e}_\nu R_{\mu b}^{ab}[\omega] $$

$$ = -\frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int e^{-1/2} e^a_\mu \wedge e^b \wedge R^{cd} e_{abcd}. $$

This action, besides being Lorentz and Diff invariant, is also Weyl invariant under

$$ e^a_\mu \rightarrow \Omega(x) e^a_\mu. $$

Our first task is to carefully derive the EM for this theory.

II. WEYL INVARIANT LAGRANGIAN WITH THE SPIN CONNECTION AS A WEYL SINGLET

First of all, let us consider the EM for the frame field. Assuming $e \neq 0$, as it is done throughout this work, it reads
\[
\delta S = - \int d^4x e^{1/2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} e^a \epsilon^d e_b R_{ab} + e_b R_{ab} + e_a R_{ad} \right) \delta e_a.
\]  
(11)

The origin of the minus sign is the identity
\[
e^a \delta e^d_a = -\epsilon^d_a \delta e^e_a.
\]  
(12)

Multiplying by the frame field \(e^a\), we get an identity; that is, the EM are traceless in the sense that
\[
\epsilon^d_a \delta S / \epsilon^d_a = 0.
\]  
(13)

The nontrivial piece tells us that
\[
R_{ab} e^d_a - \frac{1}{4} (\epsilon^a e^d R_{ab}) e^e_a = 0.
\]  
(14)

This EM coincides with the one obtained in second order formalism; the only thing is that the spin connection is not yet determined.

The variation of the connection gives
\[
\delta S = \int d^4x e^{1/2} e^d_a e_b \delta R_{ab},
\]  
(15)

where
\[
\delta R_{ab} = D \delta \omega_{ab},
\]  
(16)

and \(D\) represents the Lorentz covariant derivative.

The variations \(\delta \omega_{ab}\) are Lorentz tensors so that the whole expression can be integrated by parts
\[
\delta S = - \int e_{abcd} D(e^{-1/2} e^a \land e^b) \delta \omega_{cd}.
\]  
(17)

The Lorentz covariant derivative of the frame itself is nothing else than the two-form torsion, which is a Lorentz vector
\[
T^a \equiv D e^a = e^a + \omega^a_b \land e^b.
\]  
(18)

The torsion two form transforms nonlinearly under Weyl on the assumption that the spin connection remains inert.
\[
T^a \rightarrow \Omega T^a + d\Omega \land e^a.
\]  
(19)

The result is actually quite simple. In terms of the unimodular frame, the variation is exactly as in the Palatini case, to that
\[
\tilde{T}^a = 0
\]  
(20)

Weyl transforming with
\[
\Omega \equiv e^{1/4}
\]  
(21)

then yields the nonvanishing torsion when arbitrary frames are considered.

Let us do the explicit calculation to check our result. Taking into account that
\[
de = e^d_a de^a,
\]  
(22)

it follows
\[
\delta S = \int e_{abcd} e^{-1/2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} e^a \land e^a \land e^b \land e^c \land e^d + T^a \land e^b - e^a \land T^b \right) \delta \omega^{cd}.
\]  
(23)

Disentangling the EM,
\[
\epsilon_{abcd} \left\{ T^c_{ae} \epsilon^d_b + T^c_{be} \epsilon^d_a + T^c_{de} \epsilon^b_a \right. \\
- \frac{1}{2} e^a \left( \partial_\rho e^b e^e e^e e^d + \partial_\sigma e^b e^e e^e e^d + \partial_\rho e^b e^e e^e e^d \right) \right\} = 0.
\]  
(24)

Multiplying by \(e^d_{ib} e^e_j e^e_j\),
\[
\epsilon_{abcd} \left\{ T^c_{ae} \epsilon^d_b + T^c_{be} \epsilon^d_a + T^c_{de} \epsilon^b_a \right. \\
- \frac{1}{2} e^a \left( \partial_\rho e^b e^e e^e e^d + \partial_\sigma e^b e^e e^e e^d + \partial_\rho e^b e^e e^e e^d \right) \right\} = 0.
\]  
(25)

Multiplying by \(\epsilon_{abmn}\) yields
\[
T^c_{ae} (\delta^m_{cn} - \delta^m_{dn} + \delta^m_{en}) + T^c_{bn} (\delta^m_{cn} - \delta^m_{dn} + \delta^m_{en})
\]  
(26)

Finally, multiplying by \(\delta^a_n\)
\[
4 T^m_{ae} - T^m_{fe} + T^m_{ef} - T^m_{id} \delta^m_{de} + T^m_{de} - T^m_{id} \delta^m_{de}
\]  
(27)

Let us dub
\[
T_e \equiv T_{ic} = -T_{ei}.
\]  
(28)

Taking the trace of the last equation
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Let us now consider the first order action given by
\[
S = - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x e^\alpha \delta^\nu_\alpha R_{\mu\nu} \beta\delta [\omega].
\] (37)

Now the same argument as before shows that the torsion vanishes. Namely, perform the variations with respect to the Weyl invariant spin connection, \( \delta \omega \) (they are as arbitrary as \( \delta \omega \)). Then we learn as before that the torsion expressed in terms of the unitary frame vanishes
\[
\hat{T}^a = 0.
\] (38)

But now the torsion is Weyl invariant so that the torsion also vanishes in a general gauge.

There is now however no reason for the graviton EM to be traceless, because the Weyl invariant spin connection depends explicitly on the variable \( e \). The resulting EM is
\[
R^{ab}_\mu e^b_\mu - \frac{1}{4} (e^a_\mu e^b_\mu R^{ab}_\mu) e^a_\mu + \frac{45}{32} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{1}{e^a_\mu} e^a_\mu - \frac{15}{8} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^a_\mu e^a_\mu = 0,
\] (39)

which reduces to the second order unimodular one in the unimodular gauge \( e = 1 \). This particular Lagrangian is somewhat unnatural in that it depends not only on \( \hat{e}^a \) but also on \( e \).

IV. WEYL VARIANT LAGRANGIAN

Nothing prevents us however to write a Lagrangian like
\[
S = - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x e^\alpha \delta^\nu_\alpha R_{\mu\nu} \beta\delta [\omega],
\] (40)

where the spin connection is gauge variant as in (34). In that way, we recover the traceless EM for the graviton (because the Lagrangian depends on \( \hat{e}^a \) only), and the vanishing of the torsion is a Weyl gauge invariant statement. The action itself is not, however, Weyl invariant. This fact should not be contemplated as a drawback; after all, Weyl invariance in our approach is simply an artifact in order to construct a unimodular frame field out of a general one.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Several alternatives for first order Lagrangians for unimodular gravity are discussed. The first one is Weyl invariant with spin connection behaving as a Weyl singlet. It does imply a nonvanishing value for the torsion in a general Weyl gauge. This is at variance what is known from the second order approach. They are certainly not fully equivalent in the present formulation.

It is possible to postulate a transformation law for the spin connection in such a way that the torsion field is Weyl invariant. The corresponding Weyl invariant Lagrangian produces traceful graviton EM.
It is however easy to build a non Weyl-invariant first order Lagrangian in such a way that the corresponding EM are equivalent to the Weyl invariant second order one.
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[5] We restrict ourselves to dimension $n = 4$ in what follows, in order not to clutter the formulas with $n$-dimensional Levi-Civita tensors. The results remain however valid in arbitrary dimension.