

Repositorio Institucional de la Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

https://repositorio.uam.es

Esta es la **versión de autor** del artículo publicado en: This is an **author produced version** of a paper published in:

Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations 63.7-8 (2018):1100--1106

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2017.1420065

Copyright: © 2018 Taylor & Francis

El acceso a la versión del editor puede requerir la suscripción del recurso Access to the published version may require subscription

ON UNIVALENT POLYNOMIALS WITH CRITICAL POINTS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

MARÍA J. MARTÍN AND DRAGAN VUKOTIĆ

ABSTRACT. Brannan showed that a normalized univalent polynomial of the form $P(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + ... + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \frac{z^n}{n}$ is starlike if and only if $a_2 = ... = a_{n-1} = 0$. We give a new and simple proof of his result, showing further that it is also equivalent to the membership of *P* in the Noshiro-Warschawski class of univalent functions whose derivative has positive real part in the disk. Both proofs are based on the Fejér lemma for trigonometric polynomials with positive real part.

INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} denote the unit disk in the complex plane and *S* the class of all normalized univalent (that is, analytic and one-to-one) functions in \mathbb{D} with the Taylor series $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$. This class and its several natural subclasses have been extensively studied in the literature [3], [5].

A basic step in understanding the class *S* is the study of the polynomials in the class. Dieudonné [2] characterized the univalence of a polynomial in terms of the roots of an associated trigonometric equation, which is useful for applications but not explicit. Various authors have afterwards sought a simple explicit characterization of the coefficient regions of univalent polynomials, without involving any additional parameters. In such a study, Dieudonné's result is often used as a starting point; see [3, § 8.6]. However, this has so far only been done for the polynomials of very small degree; the case n = 4 is already quite complicated.

If a normalized polynomial $P(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + ... + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + a_n z^n$ is locally univalent in the unit disk then it is easy to see that $|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ since its derivative cannot vanish in D; to this end, just write $P'(z) = na_n \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z - \alpha_k)$. In this note we will be interested mainly in the polynomials with the maximum modulus of the leading coefficient: $|a_n| = \frac{1}{n}$. It is not difficult to see that such a polynomial has all of its critical points on the unit circle. Conversely, it is trivial to see that every polynomial with critical points on the unit circle and normalized so that P'(0) = 1 must satisfy the condition $|a_n| = \frac{1}{n}$. Since the polynomial P

Date: 25 June, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C10, 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Polynomials, critical points, starlike functions, functions with positive real part, Fejér lemma.

The authors are supported by MTM2015-65792-P from MINECO/FEDER and partially by the Thematic Research Network MTM2015-69323-REDT, MINECO, Spain.

shares many properties (including those we are interested in here) with any of its rotations: $P_{\lambda}(z) = \overline{\lambda} P(\lambda z)$, where $|\lambda| = 1$, it suffices to consider only the case when $a_n = 1/n$.

An analytic function in a convex domain whose derivative has positive real part is univalent, as expressed by the Noshiro-Warschawski criterion for univalence [3, Theorem 2.16]; in view of this, the normalized class of all functions in *S* with Re f'(z) > 0 in \mathbb{D} is often called the Noshiro-Warschawski class. In this note we show that a normalized univalent polynomial with $|a_n| = \frac{1}{n}$ belongs to the Noshiro-Warschawski class if and only if $a_2 = \ldots = a_{n-1} = 0$. The key tool in the proof will be the classical Fejér lemma for trigonometric polynomials with positive real part on the circle.

Another important subclass of *S* is that of the starlike functions. A set *E* is said to be *starlike* with respect to the origin if for every $z \in E$ the segment [0, z] is contained in *E*. A function *f* is said to be starlike if it is a univalent function of the disk onto a domain starlike with respect to the origin. The usual notation for the subclass of *S* consisting of all starlike functions is S^* . It is well-known [3, Theorem 2.10] that an analytic function *f* in \mathbb{D} , normalized so that f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0, belongs to S^* if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For the normalized polynomials with $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, Brannan [1] showed that a polynomial of this form is starlike if and only if $a_2 = \ldots = a_{n-1} = 0$ by relying on the criterion of Dieudonné for univalence. We will give a different proof of Brannan's result, again by using Fejér's lemma instead of Dieudonné's criterion.

1. PRELIMINARY FACTS ON POLYNOMIALS

Some basic facts about polynomials. Given a complex polynomial of degree *n*: $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k z^k$, if we look at its restriction to the unit circle and write each *z* of modulus one as $z = e^{it}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, it is easy to see that Re *P* is a trigonometric polynomial of degree *n*:

(1)
$$T(t) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha_k \cos kt + \beta_k \sin kt).$$

It is not difficult to see that it can have at most 2n zeros in $[0, 2\pi]$. Either from this or from the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the disk with continuous data on the unit circle, we deduce the following.

FACT. If the real part of a complex polynomial P vanishes on the unit circle then P is identically equal to a purely imaginary constant.

The Fejér lemma. The following classical lemma due to Fejér [7, p. 154–155] characterizes an important class of trigonometric polynomials.

FEJÉR'S LEMMA. If *T* is a trigonometric polynomial as in (1) and $T(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ then there exist complex coefficients γ_j , $0 \le j \le n$, such that

$$T(t) = |\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 e^{it} + \dots + \gamma_n e^{int}|^2, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

2

Another useful property. Here is a useful fact. The argument is adapted from the proof of the main theorem in our recent work on a different topic [4].

PROPOSITION 1. *If* $n \ge 2$ *and the polynomial*

$$Q(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} + z^n$$

has positive real part in \mathbb{D} *then* $c_1 = c_2 = \ldots = c_{n-1} = 0$.

Proof. Consider the *n*-th roots of -1:

$$\omega_k = e^{(2k+1)\pi i/n}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Clearly, Re $\{1 + \omega_k^n\} = 0, k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$. Hence from our assumption that *Q* has positive real part in \mathbb{D} we conclude that for each of these values

(2) Re {
$$c_1\omega_k + c_2\omega_k^2 + \ldots + c_{n-1}\omega_k^{n-1}$$
} $\ge 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1.$

By basic algebra, for any fixed *j* with $1 \le j \le n - 1$ we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_k^j = e^{\pi i j/n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{2k j \pi i/n} = e^{\pi i j/n} \frac{1 - e^{2j \pi i}}{1 - e^{2j \pi i/n}} = 0.$$

Thus, summing up the terms on the left in (2) over $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ c_1 \omega_k + c_2 \omega_k^2 + \ldots + c_{n-1} \omega_k^{n-1} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left\{ c_j \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_k^j \right\} = 0$$

Since every summand on the left-hand side in the above formula is non-negative in view of (2), all of them must be zero:

Re
$$\{c_1\omega_k + c_2\omega_k^2 + \ldots + c_{n-1}\omega_k^{n-1}\} = 0$$
, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$,

hence also

(3) Re {1 +
$$c_1\omega_k + c_2\omega_k^2 + \ldots + c_{n-1}\omega_k^{n-1} + \omega_k^n$$
} = 0, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$.

Writing $\lambda = e^{it}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, the function

$$T(t) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + c_1 \lambda + \ldots + c_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^n \right\}$$

can be viewed as a trigonometric polynomial of degree *n* of the variable *t*. Since $T(t) \ge 0$ on $[0, 2\pi]$, Fejér's Lemma tells us that for some coefficients $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ and $\lambda = e^{it}$ we have

$$T(t) = |(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \lambda + \ldots + \gamma_n \lambda^n)^2|.$$

The complex polynomial $Q(z) = (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z + ... \gamma_n z^n)^2$ cannot be identically zero for then the trigonometric polynomial T would be identically zero in $[0, 2\pi]$ and then the restriction of Re $\{1 + c_1 z + ... + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} + z^n\}$ to the unit circle would be zero while its value at the origin is one, which would contradict the maximum principle or the mean value property. Therefore the polynomial Q has 2n zeros counting the multiplicities, each zero being obviously of order at least two. But we know from (3) that this polynomial has at least n distinct zeros ω_k , k = 0, 1, ..., n-1, which are roots of -1, so each one of these zeros must

be double and hence *Q* cannot have any other zeros. Thus, the polynomial factorizes as

$$Q(z) = (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z + \ldots + \gamma_n z^n)^2 = C \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (z - \omega_k)^2 = C(z^n + 1)^2.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + c_1 z + \ldots + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} + z^n\right\} = |C(z^n + 1)^2| = 2|C|\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^n + 1\right\}$$

for all *z* on the unit circle. From the fact quoted earlier, two polynomials whose real parts are equal on the unit circle must coincide everywhere, except for an imaginary constant:

$$1 + c_1 z + \ldots + c_{n-1} z^{n-1} + z^n = 2|C|(z^n + 1) + ic, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$c_1 = c_2 = \ldots = c_{n-1} = 0$$
,

which proves the claim.

A remark on simple critical points. It will also be important to stress that if all critical points of a polynomial univalent in \mathbb{D} are on the unit circle, then all of them are simple zeros of the derivative. This fact is known to the experts [1, p. 105], [6, p. 241] but it seems useful to explain it in a few lines. In fact, if $P'(\alpha) = 0$ for some α with $|\alpha| = 1$ and if $P''(\alpha) = 0$, then α is a zero of order $k \ge 3$ of the polynomial $P(z) - P(\alpha)$, hence

$$P_{\alpha}(z) = P(z) - P(\alpha) = \left[(z - \alpha) h(z) \right]^{k}$$

for some entire function h. Note that the function $g(z) = (z - \alpha)h(z)$ has the property that $g'(\alpha) = h(\alpha) \neq 0$, hence g is conformal at the point α . Now the basic local mapping properties can be used to contradict the univalence of P_{α} .

2. The main result and its proof

We are now ready to prove our main result. It can be viewed as an extension of Brannan's result by a completely different and possibly simpler method. In order to prove the equivalence between (b) and (c) below in [1], Brannan used Dieudonné's criterion and another lemma on univalent polynomials proved by himself in an earlier paper. As far as we know, the equivalence between (a) and the remaining two conditions is new.

THEOREM 2. Let $P(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + ... + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + a_n z^n$ be a polynomial in the class *S* with all critical points on the unit circle (that is, $|a_n| = \frac{1}{n}$). Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) P' has positive real part in \mathbb{D} .
- (b) $a_2 = \ldots = a_{n-1} = 0.$
- (c) P is starlike.

4

Note that *P* has any of the properties (a)–(c) if and only if any of its rotations $P_{\lambda}(z) = \overline{\lambda}P(\lambda z)$, $|\lambda| = 1$, has the corresponding property. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that $P(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \ldots + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \frac{z^n}{n}$. We proceed under this assumption.

We first show that (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) and then also that (b) \Leftrightarrow (c).

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Follows directly from Proposition 1 since $P'(z) = 1 + 2a_2z + ... + (n-1)a_{n-1}z^{n-2} + z^{n-1}.$

(b) \Rightarrow (a) In this case, $P'(z) = 1 + z^{n-1}$, and the conclusion is clear. (b) \Rightarrow (c) It is straightforward to check that

$$Q(z) = \frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)} = \frac{1+z^{n-1}}{1+\frac{z^{n-1}}{n}}$$

has positive real part in \mathbb{D} since the linear fractional mapping $\frac{1+w}{1+\frac{w}{n}}$ maps the unit disk onto a disk whose diameter is $(0, \frac{2n}{n+1})$.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (b)$ By the well-known criterion for starlikeness, *P* must have the property that

Re
$$\left\{\frac{zP'(z)}{P(z)}\right\} > 0$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

After multiplying both sides by $|P(z)|^2$, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{zP'(z)\overline{P(z)}\right\} \ge 0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and hence also for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Thus, if we denote by *R* the polynomial defined by

$$R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{z} = 1 + a_2 z + \ldots + a_{n-1} z^{n-2} + \frac{z^{n-1}}{n},$$

we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{P'(z)\overline{R(z)}\right\} \geq 0\,,$$

for all *z* on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \partial \mathbb{D}$. Since the restriction of $\operatorname{Re} \{P'\overline{R}\}$ to the unit circle is a trigonometric polynomial, the Fejér lemma yields

Re
$$\left\{ P'(z)\overline{R(z)} \right\} = |Q(z)|^2$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

where $Q(z) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z + ... + \gamma_{n-1} z^{n-1}$. Now, the zeros of P' all lie on \mathbb{T} by assumption and are pairwise different (as observed earlier), so there are n-1 of them. On the other hand, Q viewed as a polynomial in the complex plane must vanish at each zero of P' and has n-1 zeros. Hence the zeros of Q must all coincide with the zeros of P' on \mathbb{T} , and it follows that actually in the whole plane we have Q(z) = CP'(z) for some constant C. Hence

Re
$$\left\{ P'(z)\overline{R(z)} \right\} = |C|^2 |P'(z)|^2$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$.

In other words,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{P'(z)\overline{(R(z)-|C|^2P'(z))}\right\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

After writing down the expressions for both factors on the left-hand side, P' and $(R - |C|^2 P')$, and multiplying out, we obtain a trigonometric polynomial of the form

$$\alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_k \cos kt + \beta_k \sin kt)$$

whose all coefficients are zero. There is no need to compute all of them: it suffices to focus just on α_0 and α_{n-1} . One easily notices that

(4)
$$\alpha_{n-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{n} - 2|C|^2 = 0.$$

and

$$\alpha_0 = (1 - |C|^2) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k(1 - k|C|^2) |a_k|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n} - |C|^2\right) = 0.$$

In view of (4), the first and the last term in the above sum cancel out, so we are left with

(5)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k \left(1 - k |C|^2 \right) |a_k|^2 = 0.$$

Equation (4) yields $|C|^2 = (n+1)/(2n)$, which easily implies that

$$1 - k|C|^2 < 0$$
, for all $k \in \{2, 3, ..., n - 1\}$.

This, together with (5), readily implies (b).

REFERENCES

- [1] D.A. Brannan, On univalent polynomials, Glasgow Math. J. 11 (1970), 102–107.
- [2] J. Dieudonné, Sur le rayon d'univalence des polynômes, C. R. Sci. Acad. Paris 192 (1931), 79–81.

- [3] P.L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Springer-Verlag, New York 1983.
- [4] M.J. Martín, E.T. Sawyer, I. Uriarte-Tuero, D. Vukotić, The Krzyż conjecture revisited, *Adv. Math.*, 273 (2015), 716–745.
- [5] Ch. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen 1975.
- [6] T. Sheil-Small, *Complex Polynomials*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 75, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2002.
- [7] M. Tsuji, Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory, Maruzen, Tokyo 1959.

UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 111, 80101 JOENSUU, FINLAND

E-mail address: maria.martin@uef.fi

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: dragan.vukotic@uam.es *URL*: http://www.uam.es/dragan.vukotic

6